N E C E P T

Asphalt Construction Program

Asphalt Plant Technician Certification
An Update/Refresher Course
for
Asphalt Plant Certification

January 2023

Presented by

Northeast Center of Excellence for Pavement Technology

1

N E C E P T

NECEPT

Website: www.superpave.psu.edu

Email: superpave@psu.edu

Covers PENNDOT Certification Program

Click on Training to Access Course Information:

Courses, Registration, Schedule & Agenda, Pub 351, FAQ

Program Assistant

(814-863-1293)

2

On-Line Registration



3

Introductory Topics

- Housekeeping Items
- Certification Categories
- Certification Requirements
- On-Line Registration
- Course Objective
- Course Agenda
- Acronyms

4

Housekeeping

1. Attendance and Participation
2. Course Schedule and Breaks
3. Quiz at the end of each Module
4. Access to Course Material

5

1. Attendance and Participation

- Attendance in the course through Zoom is required.
- Zoom records must show at least 90% attendance.
- Participants' webcams will be off.
- Participants' microphones will be off.
- Have your speakers ON.
- Questions can be asked through Zoom.

6

2. Attendance and Participation

How to use Q/A and Chat Features on Zoom Webinar:

Remember these two rules:

1. Do you want to ask questions?
   Then USE Q/A

2. Do you want to answer the instructors' questions?
   Then USE CHAT

7

7

2. Course Schedule and Breaks

- Finish by 4:30 P.M.
- Short 5-to-10 Minute Breaks at the End of each Module (after quiz)

8

3. Quiz at the end of each module

- Short Quiz – Self Graded
- 5 to 10 Questions
- 3 to 7 minutes
- REQUIRED:
  - Must answer **85** percent of questions
  - Not graded for correct or wrong answers

NOTE: At the end of the module, take the quiz first before taking a break.
The quiz time is limited and will not be reopened.

9

4. Access to Course Material

Course Material:
is available at the NECEPT Website.
some of the modules will be added after the course.

Go to **www.superpave.psu.edu**
Look under "Training"

10

Certification Categories

- Asphalt Field Technician
- Asphalt Plant Technician Level I
- Asphalt Plant Technician Level II
- Concrete Field Testing Technician
- Aggregate Technician
- PG Asphalt Binder Technician
  - (Binder Course is through NETTCP)

11

Certification Publications

- Asphalt: PennDOT Pub 351
- Concrete: PennDOT Pub 536
- Aggregate: PennDOT Pub 725

12

Certification Requirements

Covered in Publication 351

13

PennDOT Publication 351

- Publication 351 Covers
  - Requirements for Initial Certification
  - Requirements for Recertification
  - Application Procedure
  - Exam Review & Retests
  - Code of Ethics
  - Covers both plant and field tech certification
- Certification Program developed to satisfy requirements of Code of Federal Regulations, 23 CFR, Part 637, QA Procedures for Construction

14

PennDOT Publication 351
Code of Ethics

- 1. **Beneficence/Autonomy: demonstrate concern for the welfare and dignity of the recipients of the services, including Department personnel.**
- 2. **Competence: maintain high standards of professional competence**
- 3. **Public Information: provide accurate information about Asphalt technician services**
- 4. **Professional Relationships: function with discretion and integrity in relation with colleagues and other professionals.**

15

Renewal/Recertification
Asphalt Level I Plant Technician

Pub 351: Section XII (**Option A**)

- Must have been Level I certified for previous 5 years
- Must have **500 documented hours experience** in asphalt lab or plant performing QC/QA testing or inspection since date of last certification
- Must have **sign-off from supervisor** or from a Level II Tech in company.
- Must have **sign-off from PennDOT** DME/DMM Within the previous 5 years, must have attended :
  - Two NECEPT Plant Technician Update/Refresher Courses, or….
  - One NECEPT Update/Refresher Course and six hours of asphalt related learning activities from workshops, seminars, conferences, etc.

16

Renewal/Recertification
Asphalt Level II Plant Technician

Pub 351: Section XIII (Option A)

- Must have been Level II certified for previous 5 years
- Must have **500 documented hours experience** in asphalt lab or plant performing QC/QA testing or inspection since date of last certification
- Must have **sign-off from supervisor** or from a Level II Tech in company.
- Must have **sign-off from PennDOT** DME/DMM Within the previous 5 years, must have attended :
  - Two NECEPT Plant Technician Update/Refresher Courses, or….
  - One NECEPT Update/Refresher Course and six hours of asphalt related learning activities from workshops, seminars, conferences, etc.

17

Examples of Accepted Asphalt-Related Annual Conferences, Seminars, and Workshops

- Annual APC Conferences
- Annual PAPA Conference
- Annual PAPA Regional Technical Meetings
- Annual Asphalt Pavement Conference from any MARTCP states
- Mid-Atlantic States QAW
- Nationally Recognized Conferences or Courses (NAPA, NCAT, NEAUPG, …)
- PennDOT pre-approved Department or Industry sponsored training

18

Accepted Asphalt-Related Annual Conferences, Seminars, and Workshops

Abbreviations for Terms

- APC: Associated Pennsylvania Contractors
- PAPA: Pennsylvania Asphalt Pavement Association
- MARTCP: Mid-Atlantic Reciprocity Certification Program states
- QAW: Quality Assurance Workshop
- NAPA: National Pavement Association
- NCAT: National Center for Asphalt Technology

19

Course Objectives

This is a course for renewal of certification as an Asphalt Plant Level I or Level II Technician.

The course objectives are

- To review the latest changes in PennDOT Specs
- To discuss latest issues and topics related to asphalt pavement materials, design, and construction

20

Plant Technician Certification Renewal
Course Agenda

- 1. Update on PennDOT Specifications
- 2. Update on PennDOT Bulletin 27
- 3. Moisture Damage in Pavements: Origin and Forensic Analysis (TP Experience)
- 4. Asphalt Design and Construction in the State of New York
- 5. Balanced Mix Design & Data Variability: Virginia's Experience
- 6. Use of Rejuvenators in Asphalt Mixture

21

ACRONYMS

01. AASHTO: American Association of State Transportation Officials (www.transportation.org)
02. AET: Asphalt Emulsion Tack
03. AI: Asphalt Institute (www.asphaltinstitute.org)
04. ATPBC: Asphalt Treated Permeable Base Course
05. DME/DMM: District Materials Engineer/District Materials Manager
06. ESAL: Equivalent Single Axle Load
07. FHWA: Federal Highway Administration (www.fhwa.dot.gov)
08. HMA: Hot Mix Asphalt
09. JMF: Job Mix Formula
10. LTS: (PennDOT) Laboratory Testing Section

22

ACRONYMS

11. MTV: Materials Transfer Vehicle
12. NAPA: National Asphalt Paving Association (www.asphaltpavement.org)
13. NECEPT: Northeast Center of Excellence for Paving Technology (www.superpave.psu.edu)
14. NMAS: Nominal Maximum Aggregate Size
15. OSHA: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (www.osha.gov)
16. PAPA: Pennsylvania Asphalt Pavement Association (www.pahotmix.org)
17. PG: Performance Grade
18. PTM: Pennsylvania Test Method
    (ftp://ftp.dot.state.pa.us/public/pdf/BOCM_MTD_LAB/PUBLICATIONS/PUB_19/PTM_TOC.pdf)
19. QC/QA: Quality Control / Quality Assurance
20. RPS: Restricted Performance Specifications

23

ACRONYMS

21. PWL: Percent Within Limits
22. RAM: Reclaimed Aggregate Material
23. RAP: Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement
24. RAS: Recycled Asphalt Shingle
25. SGC: Superpave Gyratory Compactor
26. SRL: Skid Resistance Level
27. SMA: Stone Matrix Asphalt (Stone Mastic Asphalt)
28. TSR: Tensile Strength Ratio
29. VFA: Voids Field with Asphalt
30. VMA: Voids in the Mineral Aggregate
31. WMA: Warm Mix Asphalt

24

N E C E P T

Plant Technician Certification Program

An Update on

PennDOT Asphalt Specifications

2023

Northeast Center of Excellence for Pavement Technology

NECEPT

1

1

Your Role with PennDOT Specifications

- You must be
  - familiar with specifications that cover your project.
  - be aware of the effective change dates and your project let date.

NECEPT 2

2

Powers of Observation

- Do you think this is important for you as a certified plant technician?
- How would you rate yourself on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best!

3

FINISHED FILES ARE THE RESULT OF YEARS OF SCIENTIFIC STUDY COMBINED WITH THE EXPERIENCE OF MANY YEARS.

4

Can you read this?

I cdnuolt blveiee that I cluod aulaclty uesdnatnrd what I was rdanieg. The phaonmneal pweor of the hmuan mnid, aoccdrnig to a rscheearch at Cmabrigde Uinervtisy, it dseno't mtaetr in what oerdr the ltteres in a word are, the olny iproamtnt tihng is that the frsit and last ltteer be in the rghit pclae. The rset can be a taotl mses and you can still raed it whotuit a pboerlm. This is bcuseae the huamn mnid deos not raed ervey lteter by istlef, but the word as a wlohe. Azanmig huh? Yaeh and I awlyas tghuhot slpeling was ipmorantt! If you can raed this forwrad it

5

R34D 7H15

6

7H15 M3554G3 53RV35 70 PROV3 7H47 OUR M1ND5 C4N DO 1MPR3551V3 TH1NG5! 1N 7H3 B3G1NN1NG 17 WA5 H4RD. BU7 NOW, ON 7H15 LIN3 YOUR M1ND 15 R34D1NG 4UTOM471C4LLY W17HOU7 3V3N 7H1NK1NG 4BOU7 17. ONLY C3R741N P3OPL3 C4N R34D 7H15!

7

So, Are you using your Powers of Observation?

Be observant to all aspects of the products you are working with.

Learn from you mistakes and mistakes of others.

Be knowledgeable of specifications and JMF.

8

PennDOT Specifications

Which Specifications Are Most Significant?

The specifications that cover your project and affect YOU are the most important. Be aware of the effective change dates and your project let date.

9

What you need to know...

- **PennDOT Specifications Publication 408**
- **Sections covering Asphalt & the important aspects of these specifications**

10

Publication 408/2020

- PennDOT Pub 408/2020 contains Construction Specifications
- Initial Edition, (Effective April 10, 2020)
- For PennDOT Projects Let after April 10, 2020
- PennDOT Website (Initial Edition): http://www.dot.state.pa.us/public/PubsForms/Publications/Pub_408/408_2020/408_2020_IE/408_2020_IE.pdf

11

PennDOT Specifications (Publication 408)

Version	Effective Date
Initial Edition	April 10, 2020
Change No. 1	October 2, 2020
Change No. 2	April 9, 2021
Change No. 3	October 8, 2021
Change No. 4	April 1, 2022
Change No. 5	**October 7, 2022**
Change No. 6	April 14, 2023
Change No. 7	October 6, 2023

2020

12

Sections of Publication 408

Question:

How Many Sections Are There in Spec 408?

Answer:

Twelve

13

13

Contents of Publication 408

- Sections 1 through 12
- Appendix A - Metric (SI) Information
- Appendix B – Standard Special Provisions (SSP)
  - as set forth in the Bid Proposals
  - need further tailoring for use on specific projects
  - includes seven indices (C, D, G, I, N, P, S)
  - SSP Contents accessible through ECMS Website
- Appendix C – Designated Special Provisions
  - Standard documents previously included in PennDOT Bid Proposals.
- General Index (indexing the Publication)
- Change Letters and Indices

14

14

Sections of Publication 408

- 100 - General Provisions
- 200 - Earthwork
- 300 - Base Courses
- 400 - Flexible Pavements
- 500 – Rigid Pavements
- 600 – Incidental Construction

15

15

Sections of Publication 408

- 700 - Materials
- 800 - Roadside Development
- 900 - Traffic Accommodation & Control
- 1000 - Structures
- 1100 - Manufactured Materials
- 1200 - Intelligent Transportation System Devices

16

16

Sections of Publication 408

- 100 - General Provisions
  - Abbreviations and definitions
  - Bidding requirements and conditions
  - Award and contract execution
  - Scope and control of work
  - **Control of materials (Section 106)**
  - Measurement of quantities
  - Payment
  - Several others

17

17

Sections of Publication 408

- 300 – Base Courses
  - SP Asphalt Mix Design & Construction, Base Course (**Section 313**)
  - Asphalt Rich Base Course (**Section 314**)
  - Cold Mixes (**Sections 341 and 342**)
  - Asphalt Treated Permeable Base (**Section 360**)

18

18

Sections of Publication 408

- 400 – Flexible Pavements
  - SP Asphalt Mix Design & Construction, Plant Mixed Courses with PWL and LTS Testing (Section 413)
  - SP Mixture Design & Construction of Plant Mixed 6.3 mm Thin Asphalt Overlay Courses (Section 412)
  - SMA (Section 419)

19

19

Sections of Publication 408

- 700 – Materials
  - Asphalt Materials (Section 702)
  - Aggregates (Section 703)

20

20

Are You Following Me?

- PA Rank in the Nation
  - Population: (5th)
  - Population Density: (9th)
  - Road Miles: (11th)

21

21

Discussion of Specification Changes

22

22

Publication 408/2016

Version	Effective Date
Initial Edition	**April 1, 2016**
Change No. 1	October 7, 2016
Change No. 2	April 7, 2017
Change No. 3	October 6, 2017
Change No. 4	April 6, 2018
Change No. 5	October 5, 2018
Change No. 6	April 5, 2019
Change No. 7	October 4, 2019

23

23

Relevant Sections Added in Pub 408 Since April 2018:

Date	Section	Description
April 2018	412	6.3-mm Thin Asphalt Overlay
April 2020	313	Plant Produced Asphalt Mixes (base course) – Merging 309 and 311
April 2020	413	Plant Produced Asphalt Mixes (wearing and binder courses) – Merging 409 and 411
April 2022	314	Rich Base Courses

Addition in Change # 4

24

24

Relevant Sections Removed from Pub 408 within the Last 5 Years:

Date	Section	Description
April 2020	309	SP Asphalt Mixtures, HMA Base Course – Merged into 313.
April 2020	311	SP Asphalt Mixture, Warm Base Course – Merged into 313.
April 2020	320	Aggregate-Bituminous Base Course.
April 2020	409	SP Asphalt Mixtures, HMA wearing and binder courses – Merged into 413.
April 2020	411	SP Asphalt Mixtures, WMA wearing and binder courses – Merged into 413.

25

Major Asphalt Related Changes in Pub 408 Since April 2018

Date	Section	Description
October 2018	483	Emulsion class changed from CSS-1hPM to CQS-1hPM.
April 2019	413	Acceptance by Certification can be used for parking lots
April 2019	413	Change to Weather & Seasonal Limitations
October 2021	341 & 342	Allow foamed asphalt in cold recycling in addition to emulsified asphalt
October 2021	413	Once sublot size established, the sublot size will remain unchanged throughout the project
October 2022	413	Increase VMA by 0.5% in Table B

26

Major Asphalt Related Changes Since April 2018 (PennDOT Bulletin 27 and SSPs)

Effective Date	Publication #	Comments
1/21/2022	Bulletin 27	Minimum Effective Asphalt & Performance Related Testing
4/10/2020	SSP c0413	Superpave Asphalt Mixture Design, Binder Course (Leveling), High RAP
5/19/2020	SSP b04131	Superpave Mixture Design, Standard and RPS Construction of Plant Mixed Asphalt Courses With Percent within Limits and Hands-On Local Acceptance (HOLA)

27

Major Asphalt Related Changes Since April 2018 (Project Office & Design Manuals)

Effective Date	Publication #	Comments
October 2019	13M	Safety Edge
October 2020	72M: RC-25M	Safety Edge Drawings
12/21/2020	2 (POM)	Report delivered material using Electronic Ticketing System
4/1/2021	2 (POM)	Check temperature from truck bed holes
4/1/2022	2 (POM)	% Payment for Defective Asphalt Pavement

13M: Publication on Highway Design

28

Sections of Publication 408 Containing Asphalt Specifications (2020, Chg. 5)

106	Controls of Material Statistics
313	SP Asphalt Mixture Design & Construction of Base Courses
314	Rich Asphalt Base Courses
316	Flexible Base Replacement
341	Cold Recycled Asphalt Base Course (In-Place)
342	Cold Recycled Asphalt Base Course (Central Plant)
344	Full Depth Reclamation
360	Asphalt Treated Permeable Base

29

Sections of Publication 408 Containing Asphalt Specifications (2020, Chg. 5)

314	Rich Asphalt Base Courses
344	Full Depth Reclamation
360	Asphalt Treated Permeable Base

Section 314 Q: What is Design # of Gyrations? 50

Section 314 Q: What is Design Air Voids? 2.5%

Section 314 Q: What is minimum required VMA? 13%

Section 344 Q: What stabilizing additives used in FDR?

Asphalt, cement, hydrated lime, calcium chloride

Section 360 Q: What is the required mat density for ATPB?

No density requirement

30

Sections of Publication 408
Removal of Some Sections related to Base Courses

320	Aggregate Bituminous Base Course – REMOVED from SPEC
321	Aggregate-Cement Base Course– REMOVED from SPEC
322	Aggregate-Line Pozzolan Base Course– REMOVED from SPEC

31

31

Sections of Publication 408
Containing Asphalt Specifications (2020, Chg. 5)

404	Evaluation and Payment of Asphalt Pavement Ride Quality Incentive
405	Evaluation of Asphalt Pavement Longitudinal Joint Density, Payment of Incentive/Disincentive
410	SP. Mix Design, Stand. and RPS Construction of Plant-Mixed Asphalt Fine Graded Courses
412	6.3-mm thin asphalt overlays
413	Superpave Asphalt Mixture Design, Construction of Plant-Mixed Courses with PWL and LTS Testing

32

32

Sections of Publication 408
Containing Asphalt Specifications (2020, Chg. 5)

419	SMA Design & RPS Construction of Wearing Course
420	Pervious Asphalt Pavement System
460	Asphalt Tack Coat
470	Asphalt Seal Coat
471	Asphalt Seal Coat using Precoated Aggregate
480	Asphalt Surface Treatment

Section 420 Q: Is RAP allowed in Pervious Asphalt Pavement? **Yes, up to 10%**

Section 460 Q: What is asphalt residue range for tack coat? **0.03 to 0.07 gal/yd²**

Section 471 Q: How much asphalt residual for precoated agg.? **0.6 to 1.2% by weight of mix**

Section 480 Q: How is surface treatment different from seal coat? **It is 2 layers of seal coat.**

33

33

Sections of Publication
Containing Asphalt Specifications (2020, Chg. 5)

481	Asphalt Surface Treatment using Precoated Aggregate
482	Slurry Seal
483	Polymer-Modified Emulsified Asphalt Paving System (Micro Surfacing)
489	Ultra-Thin Bonded Wearing Course
496	Asphalt Concrete Pavement, 60-month Warranty

34

34

Specification 2016

Initial Version and Changes 1 through 7

April 2016 – October 2019

35

35

Spec 408/2020- Section 420
Pervious Asphalt Pavement System

Table B

Mixture Composition		
Gyrations	N_{design}	50
Air Voids	ASTM D6752	16.0% - 20.0%
	AASHTO T 275	18.0% - 22.0%
	AASHTO T 269	18.0% - 22.0%
Draindown	AASHTO T 305	≤0.3%

NOTE the name Change in 2020 Edition of Spec:
"Bituminous" replaced by "Asphalt"

36

36

Spec 408/2016- Section 409 (Now 413)
Superpave Mixes
Change No. 3 (October 2017)

Nominal Max Agg. Size (mm)	Each Specimen	Multiple Specimens
Air Voids at N_{des} (V_a)	±2%	±1.5%
Min. VMA% for 4.75 mm mixes	16.0	-
Min. VMA% for 9.5 mm mixes	15.0	-
Min. VMA% for 12.5 mm mixes	14.0	-
Min. VMA% for 19.0 mm mixes	13.0	-
Min. VMA% for 25.0 mm mixes	12.0	-
Min. VMA% for 37.0 mm mixes	11.0	-

37

37

Section 412, Superpave Mixture Design, Construction of Plant Mixed Asphalt 6.3 mm Thin Overlay Courses
Change No. 4 (April 2018)

- Used in Thin Lifts (3/4" min, 1 ¼" max.)
- Useful Tool for Pavement Preservation
- An alternative to microsurfacing and seal coats.

38

Section 412
6.3 mm Thin Overlay Courses

Change No. 4 (April 2018)


One-inch thick placed 6.3 mm, SR 220

Mixture Details
- PG 64E-22 binder required
- Coarse aggregate: Type A
- Sand fine aggregate must be from the same source as coarse aggregate with SRL rating in Bulletin 14
- **Q:** RAP or RAS in mix? NO

39

Section 412
6.3 mm Thin Overlay Courses
Change No. 4 (April 2018)

Construction details:
- air and surface temperature > 50°F
- MTV required, unless waived by Rep.
- Box samples from roadway, hopper, or screed
- Density acceptance by Optimum rolling pattern or non-movement

40

Section 412
6.3-mm Thin Overlay Courses
Change No. 4 (April 2018)

Critical points for success:
- Clean existing surface.
- Proper, uniform tack application
- Selection of compaction rollers
- Begin Rolling immediately.
- Time available for compaction is limited.
- Do not use pneumatic-tire rollers.

41

Spec 408/2016- Section 483
Microsurfacing
Change No. 5 (October 2018)

Class of Asphalt Materials Changed

Used to be CSS-1hPM (E-8CPM)

Now it is CQS-1hPM

42

Spec 408/2016- Section 409
SP Mixes
Change No. 6 (April 2019)

Added

parking lot mixes to acceptance by certification

43

Spec 408/2016 - Section 409
Change No. 6 (April 2019)

Major Change to the section on

Weather and Seasonal Limitations

44

Spec 408/2016 - Section 409
Change No. 6 (April 2019)

Weather and Seasonal Limitations

Place between **April 1 to October 15** for
- all PG 76-22 wearing courses, **(now PG 64E-22)**
- >10 million ESALs wearing courses,
- 4.75 mm wearing courses,
- wearing courses placed less than 1.5 inches (compacted)

Place between **April 1 to October 31** for other mixes

45

Spec 408/2016 - Section 409
Change No. 6 (April 2019)

Paving in extended season

- Submit requests in writing at least 14 days prior to work
- Group 1: **April 1 to November 15**
- Group 2: **March 1 to December 15**
- Density acceptance will be by pavement cores.
- Utilize a Material Transfer Vehicle (MTV) on any day when the paving length will exceed 1,500 linear feet.

46

Spec 408/2016 - Section 409
Change No. 6 (April 2019)

Paving in extended season

Paving work completed during the fall portion of the Extended-Season will be subject to a spring evaluation and manual survey by the Department to be conducted by May 1.

Manual surveys will be conducted in accordance with Publication **336.**

47

Spec 408/2016 - Section 409
Change No. 7 (October 2019)

Minimum Compacted Depth to Obtain Cores for Measuring and Accepting Density
For Standard Specification

Mixture	Minimum Depth
9.5-mm Wearing Course	1 ½" (≈ 40 mm)
12.5-mm Wearing Course	2" (≈ 50 mm)
19-mm Wearing and Binder Course	2 ½" (≈ 60 mm)
25-mm Binder Course	3" (≈ 80 mm)

48

Spec 408/2016 - Section 409
Change No. 7 (October 2019)

Change of Materials for Painting
Existing Vertical Surfaces in Contact with an Asphalt Mix:

Paint existing vertical surfaces … in contact with asphalt mixtures with a uniform coating of either emulsified asphalt, consisting of PennDOT Material Class TACK or NTT/CNTT, applied in two or more applications, or hot asphalt material of the class and type designated for the bituminous course.

NTT: Non-Tracking Tack Coat (Anionic) & CNTT: Non-tracking Tack Coat (Cationic)

Removed the following materials for painting vertical surface:
Class E-6 (AASHTO SS-1 or CSS-1), E-8 (AASHTO SS-1h or CSS-1h), Class AET applied in two or more applications, or of the class and type designated for the asphalt course.

49

Section 314: Rich Base Courses

New Section
In 408 (Change 4 of 2020 Edition).

- Asphalt Rich Base Course (ARBC)
- Max. RAP ≤ 20% by weight of mix
- No RAS Allowed
- Mix Design Requirements for ARBC for all Traffic Levels:

Volumetric Mix Design Property	25 mm NMAS
N_{design}	50
Design Air Void	2.5
VMA for all Production QC Samples	13.0
VFA	80-85

50

Section 419: SMA

Question: Can WMA be used with SMA?

Answer: Yes

Question: Can crumb rubber be used in SMA as stabilizer? **(How much)**

Answer: Yes (0.3 to 1% by total mix weight)

51

51

413–Superpave Asphalt Mixture Design and Construction of Plant Mixed Courses with PWL and LTS Testing

- 413.1 Description
- 413.2 Materials
- 413.3 Construction
- 413.4 Measurement & Payment

Where most changes have occurred in Specs.

.2 Deals with Materials
.3 Deals with Construction

52

52

Section 413.2: MATERIALS

TABLE A

JMF – Composition Tolerance Requirements

Gradation	Single Sample (n=1)	Multiple Sample (n≥3)
Passing 12.5 mm (1/2 inch) and Larger	± 8.0 %	± 6.0 %
Passing 9.5 mm (3/8 inch) to 150 µm (No 100) Sieves (Inclusive	± 6.0%	± 4.0 %
Passing 75 µm (No. 200) Sieve	± 3.0%	± 2.0%
Asphalt Content		
19.0 mm asphalt mixtures and smaller	± 0.7%	± 0.4%
25.0 mm asphalt mixtures and larger	± 0.8%	± 0.5%

53

53

Section 413.2: Materials
Table A

Temperature of Mixture (F)				
Class of Material	Type of Material	Chemical, Organic, Foaming Additives, Minimum	Mechanical Foaming Equip/Process Minimum*	Maximum*
PG 58S-28	Asphalt Binder	215	230	310
PG 64S-22	Asphalt Binder	220	240	320
PG 64E-22	Asphalt Binder	240	260	330
All other binders	Asphalt Binder	The higher of 215 or the minimum temp. specified in Bulletin 25 minus 45F	The higher of 230 or the minimum temp. specified in Bulletin 25 minus 30F	As specified in Bulletin 25

* Outline in the Producer QC Plan and follow more restrictive temperature requirements provided by the WMA technology manufacturer or Technical Representative(s) for production and placement of the mixture. Determine the SGC compaction temperature for the production QC which yields the same target air voids as the designed JMF. Include the SGC compaction temperature in the Producer QC Plan. Compact the completed mixture in the SGC for QC volumetric analysis at the SGC compaction temperature according to the guidelines provided by the Technical Representative.

54

Section 413.2:Materials
TABLE B

JMF – Volumetric Tolerance Requirements

Nominal Max Agg. Size (mm)	Each Specimen	Multiple Specimens
Air Voids at N_{des} (V_a)	±2%	±1.5%
Min. VMA% for 4.75 mm mixes	16.0	-
Min. VMA% for 9.5 mm mixes	15.0	-
Min. VMA% for 12.5 mm mixes	14.0	-
Min. VMA% for 19.0 mm mixes	13.0	-
Min. VMA% for 25.0 mm mixes	12.0	-
Min. VMA% for 37.0 mm mixes	11.0	-

55

55

Section 413.2: MATERIALS
TABLE C

Mixture Acceptance

Acceptance Level	Acceptance Method
Certification Acceptance	Producer Certification of Mixture Section 413.2 (i) 2
Lot Acceptance	Mixture Acceptance Sample Testing Section 413.3(h) 2

56

56

Section 413.3(h) 2: Mixture Lot Acceptance

- Normal Lot Size: 2,500 tons, 5 equal sublots
- Each sublot: 500 tons
- Special circumstances may change the size of a completed lot:
  - Minimum possible number of sublots: 3
  - Maximum possible number of sublots: 7

57

57

Section 413.3(h) 2: Sublot Size

(new as specified in Change 3 of Spec Edition 2020, (October 2021)

- Once the sublot size for each specific JMF has been established based on the project's plan quantity, the sublot size **will remain unchanged** throughout project completion.
- A completed sublot has a mixture acceptance box sample and either a core or other density acceptance measures

58

58

Section 413.3: Construction

- **TABLE D**. - Re-adjustment of Lot Size and Associated Number of Sublots
- **TABLE E**. - Density Limits for Partially Completed Lots
- **TABLE F**. - Density Acceptable Levels & Criteria
- **TABLE G**. - Minimum Mixture Compacted Depths

59

59

Section 413.2(h): Density Acceptance
TABLE E

Density Limits for Partially Completed Lots

Mixture NMAS	Density Limits
All RPS 9.5 mm, 12.5 mm, 19 mm, and 25 mm Wearing or Binder Course	≥ 92.0% and ≤ 98.0%
All Standard 9.5 mm, 12.5 mm, 19 mm, and 25 mm Wearing or Binder Course	≥ 91.0% and ≤ 98.0%
All 25 mm and 37.5 mm Base Course	≥ 90.0% and < 100.0%

- **PAYMENT:**
- If density meets Table E Criteria: **100% Pay**
- If density no more than **2%** below min. or no more than **2%** above max: **90% Pay**
- Other cases: Defective work. Remove & Replace unless directed otherwise by the District

60

60

Section 413.2(j): Density Acceptance

TABLE F

Density Acceptance

Density Acceptance Level	Acceptance Criteria
Non-movement	Table H
Optimum Rolling Pattern	Table H
Pavement Cores*	Table I
* Only when mixture is accepted by lots	

61

61

Section 413.2(j): Density Acceptance

Min. Thickness Requirement if Density Acceptance by Cores for Standard Construction

TABLE G

Mixture Minimum Compacted Depths

Mixture	Minimum Depth
9.5-mm Wearing Course	1 ½" (≈ 40 mm)
12.5-mm Wearing Course	2" (≈ 50 mm)
19-mm Wearing and Binder Course	2 ½" (≈ 60 mm)
25-mm Binder Course	3" (≈ 80 mm)

62

62

Section 413.4: Measurement & Payment

- TABLE H - Mixture Acceptance by Certification
  - Asphalt Content

NMAS	Criteria	Value		PF, %
All sizes	Printed Tickets	At least 90% is ± 0.2 of JMF		100
		Less than 90% is ± 0.2 of JMF		85
19 mm and smaller	QC Sample Testing	Single, n=1	n≥ 2	----------
		±0.7%	±0.5%	100
		±0.8% to 1.0%	±0.6%	85
		> ±1.0%	≥ ±0.7%	RR or 50%
25 mm and larger	QC Sample Testing	±0.8%	±0.6%	100
		±0.9% to 1.2%	±0.7%	85
		> ±1.2%	≥ ±0.8%	RR or 50%

63

63

Section 413.4: Measurement & Payment

- TABLE H - Mixture Acceptance by Certification
  - Gradation

NMAS	Criteria	Value		PF, %
		n=1	n≥ 2	
All sizes	QC Sample Testing for % Passing #200 Sieve	±3.0%	±2.1%	100
		±3.1% to ±4.0%	±2.2% to ±2.7%	85
		> ±4.0%	≥ ±2.8%	RR or 50%
All sizes	QC Sample Testing for % Passing #8 Sieve	±6%	±4%	100
		±7% to ±8%	±5%	85
		> ±8%	≥ ±6%	RR or 50%

64

64

Section 413.4: Measurement & Payment

- Mixture Acceptance by Lots

  TABLE I: Upper & Lower Spec Limits for Calculating Percent Within Tolerance

  TABLE J: : Dispute Resolution Retest Cost Table

65

65

Summary

- Discussed PennDOT Spec. 408
- Reviewed changes in Asphalt Specifications.
- Major additions within the last 5 years:
  - 6.3 mm Thin Lift (412)
  - SP Mixes with PWL-LTS (413)
  - SP Mixes for Base Course (313)
  - SP Asphalt Rich Base Course (314)

66

66

Summary

- **Major Changes within the Last 5 years:**
  - Addition of VMA Criterion for 4.75-mm mixes
  - Increase of Design VMA by 0.5%
  - Allowing WMA in SMA
  - Revised Tack Coat Spec
  - Revised Emulsion for Microsurfacing (Section 283)
  - Seasonal Limitations for Paving
  - Requirements for Extended Season Paving
  - Revised compacted depth for 12.5-mm mixes
  - Change of Density Limits for Partially Completed Lots

67

67

NECEPT

PennState

Thank You!

pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

68

68

UPDATE ON PENNDOT BULLETIN 27

2023 PennDOT/NECEPT Asphalt Plant Technician Annual Update/Refresher Course

TIMOTHY L. RAMIREZ, P.E., ENGINEER OF TESTS, PENNDOT

pennsylvania DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

1

PENNDOT BY THE NUMBERS



2

OUTLINE

Bulletin 27, 2003 Edition, Changes

AASHTO

AASHTO Standards, Changes

3

OUTLINE

Bulletin 27, 2003 Edition, Changes

AASHTO

AASHTO Standards, Changes

4

BULLETIN 27, 2003, CHANGES

- Change 5 - issued on 01/19/2011
  - Active, except for Chapters 2A, 2B, and Appendix J
- SOL# 481-16-04 – issued on 04/13/2016
  - Active, for small portions of Chapters 2A and 2B, and all of Appendix J.
- SOL# 481-16-06 – issued on 10/28/2016
  - Active, for large portion of Chapter 2B (Chapter 2A in this SOL is no longer active, superseded by SOL# 481-22-01).
- SOL# 481-21-02 – issued on 11/30/2021
  - Not Active, superseded by SOL# 481-22-01.
- SOL# 481-22-01 – issued on 1/21/2022
  - Active, for large portion of Chapter 2A.
- Email from Timothy Ramirez to all DME/DMMs and copied to PAPA Representatives dated 02/14/2022
  - Active, for Appendix K.

5

ACCESSING PENNDOT STRIKE-OFF LETTERS (SOL)

- ECMS - https://www.ecms.penndot.gov/ECMS/



6

ACCESSING PENNDOT SOLS



7

ACCESSING PENNDOT SOL



8

ACCESSING PENNDOT SOL



9

BULLETIN 27, 2003, CHANGES

- Change 5 - issued on 01/19/2011
  - Active, except for Chapters 2A, 2B, and Appendix J
- SOL# 481-16-04 – issued on 04/13/2016
  - Active, for small portions of Chapters 2A and 2B, and all of Appendix J.
- SOL# 481-16-06 – issued on 10/28/2016
  - Active, for large portion of Chapter 2B (Chapter 2A in this SOL is no longer active, superseded by SOL# 481-22-01).
- SOL# 481-21-02 – issued on 11/30/2021
  - Not Active, superseded by SOL# 481-22-01.
- SOL# 481-22-01 – issued on 1/21/2022
  - Active, for large portion of Chapter 2A.
- Email from Timothy Ramirez to all DME/DMMs and copied to PAPA Representatives dated 02/14/2022
  - Active, for Appendix K.

10

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-04 – ISSUED ON 04/13/2016

- General:
  - Changes to reduce the number of annual JMFs submitted for review and approval
  - Bulletin 27, Appendix J – Revisions
  - Bulletin 27, Appendix K – New
    - Standardized JMF Naming (Numbering) System
  - Bulletin 27, Chapter 2A – Revisions
  - Bulletin 27, Chapter 2B – Revisions

11

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-04 – APPENDIX J REVISIONS

- Submit JMFs meeting following conditions:
  - Existing JMFs produced and placed for a PennDOT or Municipal Project (Liquid Fuels Funds) during previous construction year
    - QC results must be in eCAMMS ESB
  - New JMFs that producer identifies will be used on an awarded PennDOT or Municipal Project (Liquid Fuels Funds)
  - In select cases, new JMFs the DME/DMM elects to review after receiving request in writing from Producer

12

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-04 – APPENDIX J REVISIONS

- Archive all other existing JMFs
  - Submit archived JMFs on an as-needed basis where the JMF will be used on newly awarded PennDOT or Municipal Project (Liquid Fuel Funds)
  - Submit archived JMFs at least 3 weeks before start of mixture production

13

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-04 – APPENDIX J REVISIONS

- Prior to Any JMF submittals and when the submitted aggregate Gsb values are not within the Table J-1 tolerances of the LTS Bulletin 14 aggregate Gsb values
  - Follow-up testing is required
    - Any testing determined by the DME/DMM
      - Aggregate Gsb and absorption testing
      - Asphalt mixture testing
      - Other

14

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-04 – APPENDIX J REVISIONS

- Existing Mix Design – Submittal Process
  - Revised From:
    "0 to ≤ 4 Production Quality Control Volumetric Analysis Test Results from the Previous Calendar Year"
  - To:
    "Archived or 1 to ≤ 4 Production Quality Control Volumetric Analysis Test Results from the Previously Approved JMF"

15

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-04 – CHAPTER 2A REVISIONS

- Bulletin 27, Chapter 2A, Modifications to AASHTO R 35, Section 13. Report
  - Assign a JMF number by using the naming convention shown in Appendix K – Table 1
  - No other changes

16

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-04 – CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Bulletin 27, Chapter 2B, Modifications to AASHTO R 46, Section 4. Summary of the Practice
  - Subsection 4.6 Review of the Job Mix Formula (JMF)
  - Assign a JMF number by using the naming convention shown in Appendix K – Table 1
  - No other changes

17

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-04 (4/13/16) – JMF REDUCTION



18

BULLETIN 27, 2003, CHANGES

- Change 5 - issued on 01/19/2011
  - Active, except for Chapters 2A, 2B, and Appendix J
- SOL# 481-16-04 – issued on 04/13/2016
  - Active, for small portions of Chapters 2A and 2B, and all of Appendix J.
- SOL# 481-16-06 – issued on 10/28/2016
  - Active, for large portion of Chapter 2B (Chapter 2A in this SOL is no longer active, superseded by SOL# 481-22-01).
- SOL# 481-21-02 – issued on 11/30/2021
  - Not Active, superseded by SOL# 481-22-01.
- SOL# 481-22-01 – issued on 1/21/2022
  - Active, for large portion of Chapter 2A.
- Email from Timothy Ramirez to all DME/DMMs and copied to PAPA Representatives dated 02/14/2022
  - Active, for Appendix K.

19

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – ISSUED ON 10/28/2016

- General (Applies to Chapter 2A and Chapter 2B):
  - All JMFs (HMA and WMA) approved after December 30, 2016 required to contain a minimum amount of anti-strip (AS) additive
  - Existing AS requirements associated with WMA JMFs have been deleted from Pub. 408, Section 311 and Section 411
    - i.e., WMA Categorized as Mechanical Foaming requiring minimum 0.25 percent AS
  - JMFs containing both coarse and fine aggregate types that are highly moisture susceptible
    - required to be evaluated for moisture susceptibility or contain a higher dosage of AS

20

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO R 35, Section 4.4 (Page 2A-7)
    - 1st paragraph – AASHTO T 283 mixture conditioning according to Bulletin 27, Appendix I
      - i.e., 2 hours or 6 hours at 140, 145, or 153°C (285, 293, or 308°F)
- Chapter 2B:
  - Modifications to AASHTO R 46, Section 4. Summary of the Practice
    - Revisions (New) to Subsection 4.4 *Evaluating Moisture Susceptibility* (Page 2B-2)
      - 1st paragraph – AASHTO T 283 mixture conditioning according to Bulletin 27, Appendix I
        - i.e., 2 hours or 6 hours at 153°C (308°F)

21

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO R 35, Section 4.4 (Page 2A-7)
    - 1st paragraph – AASHTO T 283 mixture conditioning according to Bulletin 27, Appendix I
      - i.e., 2 hours or 6 hours at 140, 145, or 153°C (285, 293, or 308°F)
- Chapter 2B:
  - Modifications to AASHTO R 46, Section 4. Summary of the Practice
    - Revisions (New) to Subsection 4.4 *Evaluating Moisture Susceptibility* (Page 2B-2)
      - 1st paragraph – AASHTO T 283 mixture conditioning according to Bulletin 27, Appendix I
        - i.e., 2 hours or 6 hours at 153°C (308°F)

• DO NOT DO!

Note that the above Chapter 2A modification was removed in the SOL # 481-22-01 version and is now correct.

22

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A and Chapter 2B:
  - AASHTO T 283 Mixture Conditioning
    - AASHTO T 283, Section 6.4 (LMLC) - After mixing:
      - Mixture cooled at room temperature for 2 ± 0.5 h
      - Mixture placed in a 60 ± 3°C (140 ± 5°F) oven for 16 ± 1 h for curing
      - Place the mixture in an oven for 2 h ± 10 min at the compaction temperature ±3°C (5°F) prior to compaction
    - AASHTO T 283, Section 7.4 (FMLC):
      - No loose-mix curing as described in Section 6.4 shall be performed on the field-mixed samples
      - Next, place the mixture in an oven *for 2 h ± 10 min at the* compaction temperature ±3°C (5°F) prior to compaction

23

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A:
  - AASHTO R 35, Section 4.4 (Page 2A-7)
- Chapter 2B:
  - AASHTO R 46, Section 4.4 (Page 2B-2)
- Mixtures containing both CA and FA classified as a type of sandstone, siltstone, slag, quartz, shale, or gravel
  - Producer may elect to conduct AASHTO T 283 testing at minimum dosage rate (e.g., 0.25%) and at dosage one level higher (e.g., 0.50%)
  - If all true, set AS, hydrated lime, or alternate AS dosage rate at the higher dosage rate:
    - TSR of higher dosage mixture is higher than TSR of minimum dosage mixture
    - Conditioned and unconditioned tensile strengths of all AASHTO T 283 tests are above the minimum strengths in Bulletin 27, modifications to AASHTO R 35, Section 11.3 or AASHTO R 46, Section 11.3 as appropriate.

24

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A:
  - AASHTO R 35, Section 4.4 (Page 2A-7)
- Chapter 2B:
  - AASHTO R 46, Section 4.4 (Page 2A-7)

- All mixtures shall include either:
  - compatible, heat stable, amine-based liquid anti-strip (AS),
  - hydrated lime, or
  - another alternate compatible AS additive
- Include AS additive at minimum dosage on manufacturer's tech data sheet (typ. 0.25% by mass AC)
- Mixtures containing both CA and FA classified as a type of sandstone, siltstone, slag, quartz, shale, or gravel
  - Include AS, hydrated lime, alternate AS at dosage one level higher than minimum dosage rate (typ. 0.50% by mass AC)

25

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO R 35, Section 4, Summary of the Practice
    - Subsection 4.5 Review of the Job-Mix Formula (JMF) (Page 2A-3)
- Chapter 2B:
  - Modifications to AASHTO R 46, Section 4. Summary of the Practice
    - Subsection 4.6 Review of the Job Mix Formula (JMF) (Page 2B-2)

- Does not include reference to Appendix K (JMF/Mix Design Numbering/Naming System)

- Must use SOL 481-16-04
  - Assign a JMF number by using the naming convention shown in Appendix K – Table 1
  - Note: Appendix K reference included for Chapter 2B, but not for Chapter 2A

26

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO R 35, Section 4, Summary of the Practice
    - Subsection 4.5 Review of the Job-Mix Formula (JMF) (Page 2A-3)
- Chapter 2B:
  - Modifications to AASHTO R 46, Section 4. Summary of the Practice
    - Subsection 4.6 Review of the Job Mix Formula (JMF) (Page 2B-2)

- Does not include reference to Appendix K (JMF/Mix Design Numbering/Naming System)

- Must use SOL 481-16-04
  - Assign a JMF number by using the naming convention shown in Appendix K – Table 1
  - Note: Appendix K reference included for Chapter 2B, but not for Chapter 2A

27

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A:
  - AASHTO R 35, Section 11.3 (Added Page 16)
- Chapter 2B:
  - AASHTO R 46, Section 11.3 (Page 2B-7)

- Moisture susceptibility must be re-evaluated, at a minimum, once every 5 years (when JMF material sources, proportions, & targets remain same)
- Moisture susceptibility must be re-evaluated when material sources change or, material proportions or JMF targets significantly change, as determined by the DME/DMM

28

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A:
  - AASHTO R 35, Section 11.3 (Added Page 16)
    - For virgin mixtures or mixtures falling under Appendix H, Tier 1 design
      - Compute required minimum AS or alternate AS dosage rate based on virgin asphalt binder content
        - Note: Versions of Pub. 408 prior to 408/2016, Change 2 in Section 411.2(h) specify to add minimum AS dosage based on total bituminous content
    - For mixtures falling under Appendix H, Tier 2 design
      - Compute required minimum AS or alternate AS dosage rate based on the total asphalt in the mixture
- Chapter 2B:
  - AASHTO R 46, Section 11.3 (Page 2B-7)
    - Compute required minimum AS or alternate AS dosage rate based on total asphalt in the mixture

29

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A:
  - AASHTO R 35, Section 11.3 (Added Page 16)
- Chapter 2B:
  - AASHTO R 46, Section 11.3 (Page 2B-7)

- All WMA versions of same parent HMA JMF must have separate moisture susceptibility evaluations
- If HMA JMF requires anti-strip (AS), the WMA version of that JMF, produced by WMA Technology categorized as foaming or foaming process, must contain the minimum dosage of AS required in the HMA JMF.

30

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A:
  - AASHTO R 35, Section 11.3 (Added Page 16)
- Chapter 2B:
  - AASHTO R 46, Section 11.3 (Page 2B-7)
  - If Producer elects to use an alternate AS (not typical amine-based AS), contact DME/DMM
    - If directed by DME/DMM, perform moisture testing using alternate AS at manufacturer's recommended minimum dosage rate
    - If directed by DME/DMM, provide other documentation of successful use of alternate AS

31

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A:
  - AASHTO R 35, Section 13, Report (Added Page 19)
- Chapter 2B:
  - AASHTO R 46, Section 13, Report (Page N/A)
  - Does not include reference to Appendix K [JMF/Mix Design Naming (Numbering) System]
  - Must use SOL 481-16-04
    - Assign a JMF number by using the naming convention shown in Appendix K – Table 1
    - Note: Appendix K reference included for Chapter 2A, but not for Chapter 2B

32

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-16-06 – CHAPTER 2A AND CHAPTER 2B REVISIONS

- Chapter 2A:
  - AASHTO R 35, Section 13, Report (Added Page 19)
- Chapter 2B:
  - AASHTO R 46, Section 13, Report (Page N/A)
  - Does not include reference to Appendix K [JMF/Mix Design Naming (Numbering) System]
  - Must use SOL 481-16-04
    - Assign a JMF number by using the naming convention shown in Appendix K – Table 1
    - Note: Appendix K reference included for Chapter 2A, but not for Chapter 2B

33

BULLETIN 27, 2003, CHANGES

- Change 5 - issued on 01/19/2011
  - Active, except for Chapters 2A, 2B, and Appendix J
- SOL# 481-16-04 – issued on 04/13/2016
  - Active, for small portions of Chapters 2A and 2B, and all of Appendix J.
- SOL# 481-16-06 – issued on 10/28/2016
  - Active, for large portion of Chapter 2B (Chapter 2A in this SOL is no longer active, superseded by SOL# 481-22-01).
- SOL# 481-21-02 – issued on 11/30/2021
  - Not Active, superseded by SOL# 481-22-01.
- SOL# 481-22-01 – issued on 1/21/2022
  - Active, for large portion of Chapter 2A.
- Email from Timothy Ramirez to all DME/DMMs and copied to PAPA Representatives dated 02/14/2022
  - Active, for Appendix K.

34

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-21-02 – ISSUED ON 11/30/2021

- General (Applies to Chapter 2A Only):
  - Reduction in number of gyrations at N_{design}
    - AASHTO R 35, Section 8, Table 1 revisions
  - Increase in minimum design VMA for 9.5, 12.5, 19.0, 25.0 and 37.5 mm NMAS
    - AASHTO M 323, Section 7.2, Table 7 revisions
  - Revised VFA Ranges
    - AASHTO M 323, Section 7.2, Table 7 and Table 7 footnotes revisions
  - Other reference updates (e.g., Section 409 to Section 413)
  - Superseded by SOL# 481-22-01 dated January 21, 2022.

35

BULLETIN 27, 2003, CHANGES

- Change 5 - issued on 01/19/2011
  - Active, except for Chapters 2A, 2B, and Appendix J
- SOL# 481-16-04 – issued on 04/13/2016
  - Active, for small portions of Chapters 2A and 2B, and all of Appendix J.
- SOL# 481-16-06 – issued on 10/28/2016
  - Active, for large portion of Chapter 2B (Chapter 2A in this SOL is no longer active, superseded by SOL# 481-22-01).
- SOL# 481-21-02 – issued on 11/30/2021
  - Not Active, superseded by SOL# 481-22-01.
- SOL# 481-22-01 – issued on 1/21/2022
  - Active, for large portion of Chapter 2A.
- Email from Timothy Ramirez to all DME/DMMs and copied to PAPA Representatives dated 02/14/2022
  - Active, for Appendix K.

36

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-22-01 – ISSUED ON 1/21/2022

- Implementation of Performance Related Testing Results:
  - For eCAMMS JMF Year 2023:
    - All < 0.3 Million Design ESAL Range Asphalt Wearing Courses:
      - Require submission of performance related testing results as part of the JMF.
      - Performance related testing results for information only.
      - DME/DMM may approve 2023 Asphalt Wearing Course JMFs without performance related testing results entered in eCAMMS on a case-by-case basis.
  - For eCAMMS JMF Year 2024:
    - All Asphalt Wearing Courses:
      - Require submission of performance related testing results as part of the JMF.
      - Performance related testing results for information only.
      - No Asphalt Wearing Courses will be approved without submission of performance related testing results entered in eCAMMS.

37

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-22-01 – ISSUED ON 1/21/2022

- General (Applies to Chapter 2A Only):
  - Includes SOL# 481-21-02
    - Reduction in number of gyrations at N_{design}
    - Increase in minimum design VMA for 9.5, 12.5, 19.0, 25.0 and 37.5 mm NMAS
    - Revised VFA Ranges
    - Other reference updates (e.g., Section 409 to Section 413 and AASHTO M 323 Table reference updates)
  - Includes previous Non-Pay Item Related Standard Special Provision, a10650 MINIMUM EFFECTIVE ASPHALT FOR 9.5 MM OR 12.5 MM SUPERPAVE MIXTURES
  - Includes Performance Testing Requirements, Performance Testing Limits, and Exceptions If Limits Are Met

38

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-22-01 – ISSUED ON 1/21/2022

- Chapter 2A:
  - Title (Page 2A-1)
  - **Design and Control of Hot-Mix Asphalt (HMA) Mixtures Using the Superpave Asphalt Mixture Design and Analysis System with the Additional Requirement of Performance Testing**
- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to 1. General Scope (Page 2A-1)
  - "The Department has established procedures for the design and control of Hot-Mix Asphalt (HMA) based on the Superpave Asphalt Mixture Design and Analysis System, with the addition of performance related physical testing to help ensure that asphalt mixtures achieve optimum performance."

39

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-22-01 – ISSUED ON 1/21/2022

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO R 35, Section 4, Summary of the Practice
    - Subsection 4.4 Evaluating Moisture Susceptibility (Page 2A-4)
  - "The DME/DMM may allow JMFs that conform to the Performance Testing Limits in the Department's added AASHTO M 323, Section 7.4, Table 9 to use the exceptions in the Department's added AASHTO M 323, Section 7.4, Table 10."

40

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-22-01 – ISSUED ON 1/21/2022

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO R 35, Section 4, Summary of the Practice
    - New Subsection 4.5 Evaluating Rutting Performance (Page 2A-4)
  - Perform rut testing according to AASHTO T 324 as modified in the Department's modifications to AASHTO M 323, Section 7.4.
- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO R 35, Section 4, Summary of the Practice
    - New Subsection 4.6 Evaluating Cracking Performance (Page 2A-4)
  - Perform crack testing according to ASTM D8225 as modified in the Department's modifications to AASHTO M 323, Section 7.4.

41

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-22-01 – ISSUED ON 1/21/2022

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO R 35, Section 8. Compacting Specimens of Each Trial Gradation
    - Revisions to Table 1 - Superpave Gyratory Compaction Effort (Pages 2A-6 & 2A-7)
  - Binder & Wearing Courses:
    - < 0.3 Million Design ESALS – Ndesign = 50
    - ≥ 0.3 Million Design ESALS – Ndesign = 75
  - Base Courses:
    - All Design ESAL Ranges – Ndesign = 75

42

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-22-01 – ISSUED ON 1/21/2022

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO M 323, Section 7. Asphalt Mixture Design Requirements
    - Complete revision to Section 7.2 (Page 2A-20)
  - The asphalt mixture design, when compacted in accordance with AASHTO T 312, shall meet the relative density, VMA, VFA, and dust to binder ratio requirements specified in Table 7 and the minimum effective asphalt requirements in Table 8.

43

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-22-01 – ISSUED ON 1/21/2022

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO M 323, Section 7. Asphalt Mixture Design Requirements
    - Modification to Table 7 – Superpave Asphalt Mixture Design Requirements (Page 2A-20)

NMAS	Min. Design VMA	Min. Design VFA
4.75 mm	16.0	66
9.5 mm	16.0	74
12.5 mm	15.0	72
19.0 mm	14.0	70
25.0 mm	13.0	68
37.5 mm	12.0	65

44

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-22-01 – ISSUED ON 1/21/2022

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO M 323, Section 7. Asphalt Mixture Design Requirements
    - New Table 8 - Minimum Effective Asphalt (Pbe) for 9.5mm and 12.5mm Superpave Asphalt Mixtures (Pages 2A-20 & 2A-21)
  - Min. Pbe for each range of Combined Aggregate Bulk Specific Gravity (Gsb) from the Non-Pay Item Related Standard Special Provision, a10650 MINIMUM EFFECTIVE ASPHALT FOR 9.5 MM OR 12.5 MM SUPERPAVE MIXTURES

45

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-22-01 – ISSUED ON 1/21/2022

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO M 323, Section 7. Asphalt Mixture Design Requirements
    - New Subsection 7.4 Performance Testing (Page 2A-21)
  - Mixture conditioning for preparation of test specimens for performance testing. Different conditioning temperatures by grade of PGAB.
  - Air voids for test specimens for performance testing (7.0 ± 0.5%).
  - Test temperature for AASHTO T 324 (50 ± 1°C)
  - Test temperature for ASTM D8225 (25 ± 1°C)
  - Submit results of AASHTO PP 78 Section 7 testing (ΔTc) of the JMF blended binder for all JMFs with a recycled binder ratio (RBR) ≥ 0.35.
  - The DME/DMM may allow JMFs that conform to all of the testing criteria in Table 9 to apply the criteria exceptions in Table 10 to the JMF.

46

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-22-01 – ISSUED ON 1/21/2022

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO M 323, Section 7. Asphalt Mixture Design Requirements
    - New Table 9 – Performance Testing Limits (Pages 2A-21 & 2A-22)
  - Performance Testing Limits by Design ESAL Range for:

Property	Criteria
Rutting & Moisture Susceptibility (AASHTO T 324)	Maximum Rut Depth at 20,000 Passes (mm) SIP (minimum passes) Minimum Passes at 12.5 mm Rut Depth
Cracking (ASTM D8225)	CT Index
High RAP / RAS (≥ 0.35 RBR) (AASHTO PP 78, Section 7)	ΔTc

47

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
SOL# 481-22-01 – ISSUED ON 1/21/2022

- Chapter 2A:
  - Modifications to AASHTO M 323, Section 7. Asphalt Mixture Design Requirements
    - New Table 10 – Exceptions for JMFs that Meet All Table 9 Requirements (Page 2A-22)
  - Exceptions for:

Property	Specification Requirement if Table 9 Limits are Met
Percent Air Voids at N_{Design}	3.0 to 4.1
Moisture Susceptibility	AASHTO T 283 and mandatory anti-strip waived
Asphalt PG Grade	PG grade bumping to meet all performance testing limits allowed

48

BULLETIN 27, 2003, CHANGES

- Change 5 - issued on 01/19/2011
  - Active, except for Chapters 2A, 2B, and Appendix J
- SOL# 481-16-04 – issued on 04/13/2016
  - Active, for small portions of Chapters 2A and 2B, and all of Appendix J.
- SOL# 481-16-06 – issued on 10/28/2016
  - Active, for large portion of Chapter 2B (Chapter 2A in this SOL is no longer active, superseded by SOL# 481-22-01).
- SOL# 481-21-02 – issued on 11/30/2021
  - Not Active, superseded by SOL# 481-22-01.
- SOL# 481-22-01 – issued on 1/21/2022
  - Active, for large portion of Chapter 2A.
- Email from Timothy Ramirez to all DME/DMMs and copied to PAPA Representatives dated 02/14/2022
  - Active, for Appendix K.

49

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
EMAIL TO DME/DMM DATED 02/14/2022

- Appendix K:
  - Addition of the New, Reduced Gyration, Design Life ESAL Ranges

a. < 0.3 Million(Nd=50)
b. 0.3 to < 3 Million(Nd=75)
c. 0.3 to < 10 Million(Nd=75)
d. 3 to < 10 Million(Nd=75)
e. 0.3 to < 30 Million(Nd=75)
f. 3 to < 30 Million(Nd=75)
g. 10 to < 30 Million(Nd=75)
h. >= 30 Million(Nd=75)
i. < 0.3 Million(Nd=75, BC) – Intended for 25.0 mm and 37.5 mm Base Courses (BC) Only.
j. < 10 Million(Nd=75, BC) – Intended for 25.0 mm and 37.5 mm Base Courses (BC) Only.
k. < 30 Million(Nd=75, BC) – Intended for 25.0 mm and 37.5 mm Base Courses (BC) Only.

50

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
EMAIL TO DME/DMM DATED 02/14/2022

- Appendix K:
  - Cheat Sheet
    - Asphalt JMF Naming System ESAL # for new eCAMMS JMF Design ESAL Ranges.
    - ECMS Standard Item Number Description ESAL Ranges vs. the New, Reduced Gyration, eCAMMS Design ESAL Ranges.

New eCAMMS ESAL Ranges	eCAMMS Appendix K ESAL #
< 0.3 Million(Nd=50)	1
0.3 to < 3 Million(Nd=75)	2
0.3 to < 10 Million(Nd=75)	6
3 to < 10 Million(Nd=75)	6
0.3 to < 30 Million(Nd=75)	7
3 to < 30 Million(Nd=75)	7
10 to < 30 Million(Nd=75)	7
>= 30 Million(Nd=75)	8
< 0.3 Million(Nd=75, BC)	1
< 10 Million(Nd=75, BC)	6
< 30 Million(Nd=75, BC)	7

ECMS Standard Item Number Description ESAL Ranges	Equivalent eCAMMS JMF ESAL Ranges for projects let after December 30, 2021*
<0.3 MILLION	< 0.3 Million(Nd=50) < 0.3 Million(Nd=75, BC)* < 10 Million(Nd=75, BC)* < 30 Million(Nd=75, BC)*
0.3 TO < 3 MILLION	0.3 to < 3 Million(Nd=75) 0.3 to < 10 Million(Nd=75) 0.3 to < 30 Million(Nd=75)
3 TO < 10 MILLION	3 to < 10 Million(Nd=75) 0.3 to < 10 Million(Nd=75) 3 to < 30 Million(Nd=75) 0.3 to < 30 Million(Nd=75)
10 TO < 30 MILLION	10 to < 30 Million(Nd=75) 3 to < 30 Million(Nd=75) 0.3 to < 30 Million(Nd=75)
>/= 30 MILLION	>= 30 Million(Nd=75)

* Colors indicate eCAMMS JMF ESAL Range Spans Multiple ECMS ESAL Ranges.
25.0 mm and 37.5 mm Base Courses Only.

51

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
EMAIL TO DME/DMM DATED 02/14/2022

- Asphalt Concrete Gyratory Mix Design Naming System
  - Intended for JMF/Mix Design Number field in eCAMMS
  - Up to 10 characters
  - Example: W95221G1

W = Type WMA
95 = Size 9.5 mm
2 = ESALS 0.3 to <3 (75 Ndes)
2 = Asphalt Binder PG 64S-22
1 = RAP/RAS Tier 1
G = SRL-G
1 = Version

52

BULLETIN 27, 2003 EDITION, CHANGES
EMAIL TO DME/DMM DATED 02/14/2022

- Asphalt Concrete Non-Gyratory Mix Design Naming System
  - Intended for JMF/Mix Design Number field in eCAMMS
  - Up to 10 characters
  - Example: ATPBC201

ATPBC = Class ATPBC (Asphalt Treated Permeable Base Course)
2 = Asphalt Material PG 64S-22
0 = SRL-N/A
1 = Version 1

53

OUTLINE

Bulletin 27, 2003 Edition, Changes

AASHTO

AASHTO Standards, Changes



54

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 195-18, Determining Degree of Particle Coating of Asphalt Mixtures:
  - Replaced M 92 with ASTM E11 for requirements for cloth and wire sieves.
  - Added reference to M 156, Requirements for Mixing Plants.
    - New language to operate plant at a steady state condition for long enough time to complete the sampling.
  - New language for selecting the most appropriate sampling location and sampling procedures according to T 168, Sampling Bituminous Paving Mixtures.
- R 47-19, Reducing Samples of Asphalt Mixtures to Testing Size:
  - Revised "Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA)" to "asphalt mixture" throughout standard.
  - Revised heating equipment and tools "not to exceed the maximum mixing temperature of the asphalt mixture"

55

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- R 79-19, Vacuum Drying Compacted Asphalt Specimens:
  - Removed definition for constant mass (not used).
  - Revised "handheld infrared temperature sensor" to "thermometric device".
  - Added new Subsections to require two drying cycles.
- R 96-19, Installation, Operation, and Maintenance of Ignition Furnaces:
  - New Standard.
- R 97-19, Sampling Asphalt Mixtures:
  - New Standard.

56

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 30-19, Mechanical Analysis of Extracted Aggregate:
  - Revised to move the specific sieve loading requirements including Table 1 to a new Annex A2.
    - Added language to body of standard "Do not overload sieves, see Annex A2."
  - Revised from "Record the masses of each sieve…" to "Calculate percentages passing…".
  - Added new Annex A1, Time Evaluation. New mandatory Annex on establishing minimum shaker time.

57

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 209-19, Theoretical Maximum Specific Gravity (Gmm) and Density of Asphalt Mixtures:
  - Revised "Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA)" to "asphalt mixture" throughout standard.
  - Deleted Section 4, Summary of Test Method.
  - Apparatus
    - Revised "Vacuum bowl" to "Bowl".
    - Added to flask "with a factory inscribed line".
    - Added to pycnometer "with a volume defined by means of a machined lid or glass plate".
    - Revised "Thermometric device" to "Thermometer".
    - Revised water bath requirements [bath temperature must be 25 ± 1°C (77 ± 2°F)].
  - Added new subsections for "laboratory prepared" and "plant produced".
  - Revised and moved language on Standardization of Flasks, Bowls & Pycnometer to an Annex. Note: Standardization now requires 3 readings within 0.3 g.
  - Simplified equation for mass determination in water.

58

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 324-19, Hamburg Wheel Track Testing:
  - Apparatus:
    - Revised Note 1 to reference NCHRP report or available devices to verify the sinusoidal wave form.
    - Revised Linear Variable Differential Transducer (LVDT) to Linear Displacement Transducer (LDT).
    - Revised Note 2 to add that location of deformation readings should be verified accounting for the curvature of the verification device.
    - Added new text to "free circulating water on all sides of the mounting system"
    - For calculation of average rut depth at the five middle deformation locations, added the text "or other suitable method as specified by the agency".
  - In Appendix X2, Calibration/Equipment Verification, added new subsection X2.6 requiring maximum limit from a sinusoidal wave and offset values of displacement values.

59

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- R 67-20, Sampling Asphalt Mixtures after Compaction (Obtaining Cores):
  - PennDOT does not reference this standard. PennDOT references PTM No. 729.
  - Added language to brush off loose particles adhering to core and to remove any granular subbase material from bottom of core.
  - For Packaging and Transporting Samples, added text at end "to prevent breaking or deforming"
  - Appendix X2 (Non-Mandatory). Revised completely to make it a procedure for removing cut aggregates from a core before further testing of the core.

60

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 209-20, Theoretical Maximum Specific Gravity (Gmm) and Density of Asphalt Mixtures:
  - Added reference to R 67, Sampling Asphalt Mixtures after Compaction (Obtaining Cores).
  - Include an equation and example for calculating the weighted average maximum theoretical specific gravity of large-size samples tested in portions.
  - In Sections 12.2 and 12.2.1, removed references to "(*Gmm)*" as these subsections are for Theoretical Maximum Density.

61

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- TP 124-20, Determining the Fracture Potential of Asphalt Mixtures Using the Illinois Flexibility Index Test (I-FIT):
  - Changed title of standard to include "Illinois" and revised from "FIT" to "I-FIT" throughout standard.
  - Added reference to R 30 if testing to determine effects of long-term aging.
  - Revised notch width & tolerance requirements from 1.5 ± 0.5 mm to ≤ 2.25 mm.
  - Revised to allow SGC specimens compacted to 115 ± 1 mm height if laboratory does not have capability to compact SGC specimens to the recommended 160 ± 1 mm height.
  - Added precision estimates.

62

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- M 332-21, Performance-Graded Asphalt Binder Using Multiple Stress Creep Recovery (MSCR) Test:
  - Revised "H" from "High" to "Heavy" throughout standard.
  - Revised PAV DSR G*sinδ from max 5000 kPa to 6000 kPa for "S" grade
    - If intermediate temperature stiffness, G*sinδ, is from 5000 to 6000 kPa, an intermediate phase angle minimum limit of min 42° is required.
- PP 113-21, Characterizing the Relaxation Behavior of Asphalt Binders Using the Delta Tc (ΔTc) Parameter:
  - New Standard.

63

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- R 28-21, Accelerated Aging of Asphalt Binder Using a Pressurized Aging Vessel (PAV):
  - Corrected pressure gauge readings for SI and US Customary units for lab elevation.
- T 240-21, Effect of Heat and Air on a Moving Film of Asphalt Binder (Rolling Thin-Film Oven Test):
  - Added reference to NCHRP Project 20-07 / Task 400
    - Effect of Elevation on RTFO Aging of Asphalt Binders.
  - New Table 1, conditioning time with lab elevation.
    - Conditioning time increases 1 min. with each 1000 ft of elevation.
  - New equation for calculating mass change (mass change correction factor).
  - New Table 2, mass change correction factor vs. conditioning time.
    - Correction factor increases with increase in conditioning time.

64

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 85-21, Specific Gravity and Absorption of Coarse Aggregate:
  - Added reference to T 255 (Total evaporable moisture content) for drying sample to constant mass.
- T 30-21, Mechanical Analysis of Extracted Aggregate:
  - In Table A1, removed sieves with opening sizes larger than 2 in.
    - Eliminates the sieving efficiency issue for larger sieves.
  - In Table A1, removed 350 by 350 mm and 372 by 580 mm sieve frame sizes.
  - In Table A1, added US customary units of measure equivalencies for sieve diameters and sieving area.

65

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 331-21, Bulk Specific Gravity (Gmb) and Density of Compacted Asphalt Mixtures Using Automatic Vacuum Sealing Method:
  - Revised and clarified Procedure section regarding wet specimens and drying, bag mass, and check conditions.
  - Revised Equation *(1)* and definition of B (bag mass) to eliminate unnecessary steps.

66

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 283-21, Resistance of Compacted Asphalt Mixtures to Moisture-Induced Damage:
  - Added reference to R 30 (Mixture Conditioning of HMA).
    - Prepare mixture according to R 30, Section 7.1 & determine Gmm according to T 209.
    - Determine compaction temperature according to R 30.
  - Added reference to R 67 (Sampling Asphalt Mixtures after Compaction).
    - Related to preparation of Field-Mixed, Field-Compacted specimens.
  - Deleted reference to T 269 (Percent Air Voids)
    - Added equation for calculating percentage of air voids.

67

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 283-21 (Continued):
  - Deleted ASTM D3459 (Thickness/Height of Compacted Specimens).
    - Added "tape, rule or calipers for measuring specimen thickness".
    - Added language to determine specimen thickness by measuring in four locations around the specimen and averaging, or if the specimen is compacted by T 312, use the final height from the SGC.
  - Revised pan depth from "approximately 25 mm (1 in.)" to "at least a depth of 25 mm (1 in.)".
  - Added how to adjust compacted specimens to 7.0 ± 0.5 percent air voids.
    - Adjust by mass change or by level of compaction.
  - Added language for blotting each specimen with a damp towel and determining SSD as quickly as possible (not to exceed 15 s).

68

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 393-21, Determining the Fracture Potential of Asphalt Mixtures Using the Illinois Flexibility Index Test (I-FIT):
  - Formerly TP 124.
  - Adopted as a full standard.
- T 394-21, Determining the Fracture Energy of Asphalt Mixtures Using the Semicircular Bend Geometry (SCB):
  - Formerly TP 105.
  - Adopted as a full standard.

69

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- In 2022, many AASHTO standards were revised to address proper selection of Temperature Measuring Devices (TMD) as a result of NCHRP Report 20-07, Task 427:
  - Added non-liquid in glass thermometer types, thermometer temperature ranges, and thermometer tolerance ranges based on temperature usage ranges and usage tolerance ranges specified in each standard.

70

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- M 323-22, Superpave Volumetric Mix Design:
  - Various revisions from work done by the M 323/R 35 Task Force housed in the now defunct Mixture ETG that were never officially endorsed or forwarded to the AASHTO SOM/COMP including:
  - Added reference to M 332.
  - Added "binder content (P_b)" and "binder content RAP (P_{bRAP})" to terminology.
  - Added new Note 5 informing that a mixture performance test for cracking implemented by an agency is acceptable in lieu of the RAPBR binder selection criteria in Section 5.3.1.
  - Added PCS Control Point for 4.75 mm NMAS to Table 5 (1.18 mm sieve, 40%).
  - Removed VFA requirements and footnotes from Table 7 and added new Table 8 specifically for VFA requirements by NMAS.
  - Added references to Superpave5 and Annex A1 (mandatory) when agencies specify Superpave5 (agency discretion).

71

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- M 332-22, Performance-Graded Asphalt Binder Using Multiple Stress Creep Recovery (MSCR) Test:
  - Revisions from TFASH effort.
  - Added Note 3 to inform choice of which LTPPBind program version to use is up to the specifier.
  - Deleted references to M 323 regarding selection of asphalt binder grade.
  - Added new Section 4.2.5 explaining evaluation of J_{nrdiff} with max 75% limit except for when $J_{nr3.2}$ is less than 0.5 ("E" grades).
  - Deleted some Table 1 informational footnotes.

72

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- M 350-22, Reclaimed Asphalt Shingles (RAS) for Use in Asphalt Mixtures:
  - Formerly MP 23.
  - Adopted as a full standard.
- MP 46-22, Balanced Mix Design:
  - Editorial updates to sequencing of notes and tables as well as updated State practices.
- R 114-22, Design Considerations When Using Reclaimed Asphalt Shingles (RAS) in Asphalt Mixtures:
  - Formerly PP 78.
  - Adopted as a full standard.

73

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- R 30-22, Laboratory Conditioning of Asphalt Mixtures (title change – formerly "Mixture Conditioning of HMA"):
  - Revisions based on work completed in NCHRP 9-52, 9-52A, and 20-44 (19) relative to short-term aging.
  - Revised Section 1, Scope, to indicate long-term conditioning simulates 1-3 years of pavement service life.
  - Deleted Sections related to short-term conditioning for mixture mechanical property testing.
  - Added short-term conditioning for WMA, 2 h ± 5 min at 116 ± 3°C, and HMA, 2 h ± 5 min at 135 ± 3°C, in lieu of conditioning at compaction temperature.

74

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- R 35-22, Superpave Volumetric Design for Asphalt Mixtures:
  - In Terminology Section, added *design air void content*, *reclaimed asphalt pavement binder ratio*, *VFA*, *VMA*, and *WMA* and removed *materials selection*, *design aggregate structure*, *design binder content selection*, and *evaluating moisture susceptibility* and associated Notes (Notes 3 and 4).
  - In Preparing Aggregate Trial Blends Section, added new subsection to oven dry RAP to constant mass and to avoid exposing RAP to extended oven conditioning to minimize further aging of RAP binder.
  - Added references to Superpave5 for use by agency discretion and added new Annex for Preparing Superpave5 Replicate Aggregate Specimens and alternate Table for Superpave5 Gyratory Compaction Effort.

75

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 176-22, Plastic Fines in Graded Aggregates and Soils by Use of the Sand Equivalent Test:
  - Corrected and clarified dimensional discrepancies with the Sand Equivalency Apparatus described in Section 4.1 (Table and Figure 1).
  - Revised Section 6, Sampling, regarding reducing and splitting the sample.

76

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 209-22, Theoretical Maximum Specific Gravity (Gmm) and Density of Asphalt Mixtures:
  - In Sections 5.4.5 and 5.5, revised 4.0 kPa (30 mmHg) to 3.3 kPa (25 mmHg) – bottom of range at which the test is performed instead of the middle of range.
  - In Section 7.2.1, revised to "Plant-produced samples may be short-term conditioned according to R 30 as specified by the agency. See Note 5."
  - In Section 7.2.1, deleted requirement to dry the samples to constant mass.
  - In Sections 9.1 and 10.1, revised to require residual pressure for 15 ± 1 min. instead of 15 ± 2 min. to reduce variability.
  - In Section A1.1.1 (Standardization of Bowl for Mass Determination in Water), revised 2nd sentence to read "If the range of the three masses is less than or equal to 0.3 g, use the average as B in Equation 1." and revised 3rd sentence from "variation" to "range".
  - In Section A1.1.2 (Check of Bowl for Mass Determination in Water), added alternate check procedure for labs that standardize bowls frequently
  - In Sections A1.2.1 and A1.2.2 (Standardization of Flask and Pycnometer for Mass Determination in Air), revised similarly to revisions in A1.1.1 and A1.1.2, respectively.

77

2018 TO 2022 SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO PUBLISHED AASHTO STANDARDS (CONTINUED)

- T 401-22, Cantabro Abrasion Loss of Asphalt Mixture Specimens (title change – added "Cantabro"):
  - Formerly TP 108.
  - Adopted as a full standard.
  - In Section 5 (Significance and Use), revised to include.
  - In Section 6.5, Chamber ambient temperature tolerance widened from ± 1°C to ± 2°C.
  - In Section 8.1 (Procedure), adjusted drying language not to exceed 52 ± 3°C.
  - Added Appendix A for conditioning protocols to simulate field aging.

78

SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO AASHTO STANDARDS TO BE PUBLISHED IN 2023

- In 2023, a number of AASHTO standards will again be revised to address proper selection of Temperature Measuring Devices (TMD) as a result of NCHRP Report 20-07, Task 427 and further technical and practical review:
  - Includes revisions to thermometer types, thermometer temperature ranges, and thermometer tolerance ranges based on temperature usage ranges and usage tolerance ranges specified in each standard.

79

SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO AASHTO STANDARDS TO BE PUBLISHED IN 2023

- M 332-23, Performance-Graded Asphalt Binder Using Multiple Stress Creep Recovery (MSCR) Test:
  - Revisions from Task Force for Asphalt Standards Harmonization (TFASH).
  - In Table 1, revised PAV conditioning temperatures to simplify as shown in table below.

Performance Grade	PG 46	PG 52	PG 58	PG 64	PG 70	PG 76	PG 82
PAV conditioning temperature, °C	90	90	100	100	100 ~~(110)~~	100 ~~(110)~~	100 ~~(110)~~

d For climates with a LTPPBind high pavement temperature of 76 or above, the PAV conditioning temperature shall be 110 °C.

80

SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO AASHTO STANDARDS TO BE PUBLISHED IN 2023

- T 30-23, Mechanical Analysis of Extracted Aggregate:
  - Section 2. Referenced Documents:
    - In Section 2.1., added R 76, Reducing Sample of Aggregate to Testing Size and T 319, Quantitative Extraction and Recovery of Asphalt Binder from Asphalt Mixtures.
  - Section 3. Summary of Method (New).
  - Section 5. Apparatus
    - In Section 5.1., revised to require balance to be readable to 0.1 g or better.
    - In Section 5.2., revised to clarify sieves are for the washing portion of test and moved the nest of sieves requirements to this subsection.
    - In Section 5.3., revised to clarify motion of the sieves and affect on particles.
    - In Section 5.5., revised wetting agent requirements. Wetting agent to promote separation of fine materials without degrading the extracted aggregate, such as detergent, surfactant, or sodium hexametaphosphate. Detergent shall not leave a residue on sample.
    - In Section 5.8.1., do not use mechanical washer if degrades the sample. Compare mechanically washed sample against a lab prepared sample with known gradation. If comparison greater than Single-Operator Precision (d2s) listed in Table 1. Maintain records of comparison.

81

SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO AASHTO STANDARDS TO BE PUBLISHED IN 2023

- T 30-23, Mechanical Analysis of Extracted Aggregate (Continued):
  - Section 8. Procedure:
    - Moved and clarified text within this section to better describe procedure.
  - Section 10. Report:
    - In Section 10.1., added requirement to report wash time if mechanical washing apparatus was used.
  - Annex A1. Time Evaluation:
    - In Section A1.2., added new Note A1 recommending the shaker be thoroughly inspected and any needed maintenance performed if the shaker time is more than 10 min.
  - Annex A2. Overload Determination:
    - Added new Section A2.3.2.1. which addresses an alternative method to reduce the size of the portion finer than the 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve and how to compute the mass of each size increment of the original sample.

82

SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO AASHTO STANDARDS TO BE PUBLISHED IN 2023

- T 209-23, Theoretical Maximum Specific Gravity (Gmm) and Density of Asphalt Mixtures:
  - Section 5. Apparatus:
    - In Section 5.5. (Vacuum Measurement Device), revised from "be accurate to 0.1 kPa (1mmHg)" to "be readable to at least 0.2 kPa (2 mmHg)".
  - Section 9. Test Method A – Mechanical Agitation Procedure:
    - In Section 9.1., revised from "manometer reads 3.7 ± 0.3 kPa (27.5 ± 2.5 mmHg)" to "manometer reads 4.0 ± 0.6 kPa (30 ± 5 mmHg)".

83

SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO AASHTO STANDARDS TO BE PUBLISHED IN 2023

- T 240-23, Effect of Heat and Air on a Moving Film of Asphalt Binder (Rolling Thin-Film Oven Test):
  - New Section 6. Determination of Oven Preheat Time added to include two preheat time options:
  - Section 6.1.1. determine time for fully loaded oven to thermally equilibrate at 163 ± 1.0°C (325 ± 1.8°F) as determined by two consecutive 15-min temperature recordings that do not vary by more than 0.5°C (1°F). Oven preheat time is the time oven takes to reach thermal equilibrium plus an additional 30 min.
  - Section 6.1.2. in lieu of using Section 6.1.1., a minimum oven preheat time of 4 h may be used.
  - In Section 7 (Preparation of Oven) and Section 7.5, revised from preheat oven from 2 h to the preheat time determined in Section 6.

84

SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO AASHTO STANDARDS TO BE PUBLISHED IN 2023

- T 324-23, Hamburg Wheel-Track Testing of Compacted Mixtures:
  - Section 1. Scope:
    - New Section 1.5 indicating test method is standard; however, agencies may require deviations for test temperature, maximum rut depth calculation, equipment, or other.
  - Section 5. Apparatus:
    - In Section 5.3. (Impression Measurement System), added root-mean square error (RMSE) equation for determining the deviation from the 11 pre-set measurement locations.
    - In Section 5.7. (Balance), deleted this Section.
  - Section 6. Specimen Preparation:
    - In Section 6.3.1 (Field-Produced Asphalt Mixture), revised from T 168 to R 97 for obtaining sample of asphalt mixture.

85

SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO AASHTO STANDARDS TO BE PUBLISHED IN 2023

- T 324-23, Hamburg Wheel-Track Testing of Compacted Mixtures (Continued):
  - Section 9. Calculations:
    - In Section 9.1., moved text from Note 10 to this Section. Note 10 text indicated that agency may define a test as an individual slab or core specimen or as a pair of 150-mm (6-in.) diameter cylindrical specimens.
  - Section 10. Report:
    - New Section 10.1.10., to report deviation from perfectly sinusoidal wave by RMSE to nearest 0.01 mm as determined by most recent device calibration.
    - New Section 10.1.11., to report deviation from the 11 pre-set locations after taking into account the effect of curvature of the aluminum apparatus to the nearest 0.01 mm.
  - Annex A – Revised to "Evaluating Hamburg Wheel Tracking Device".
    - Sections A1. to A7. now address inspection of the steel wheels and verification of water bath temperature, LDT calibration, wheel load assembly, wheel travel and rut measurement.

86

SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO AASHTO STANDARDS TO BE PUBLISHED IN 2023

- T 331-23, Bulk Specific Gravity (Gmb) and Density of Compacted Asphalt Mixtures Using Automatic Vacuum Sealing Method:
  - Section 5. Apparatus:
    - In Section 5.4, revised to include updates involving plastic bag size.
- T 340-23, Determining Rutting Susceptibility of Asphalt Mixtures Using the Asphalt Pavement Analyzer (APA):
  - Throughout standard, revised from hot mix asphalt (HMA) to asphalt mixtures.
  - Throughout standard as appropriate, revised to add testing details for testing four or six cylindrical specimens using a two-wheel or three-wheel APA, respectively.

87

SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO AASHTO STANDARDS TO BE PUBLISHED IN 2023

- R 47-23, Reducing Samples of Asphalt Mixtures to Testing Size:
  - In Section 7.1. Mechanical Splitter Type A, revise for clarity.
  - In Section 8. Procedure for Mechanical Splitter Method:
    - In Section 8.1., deleted last sentence indicating the release agent shall not contain any solvents or petroleum based products. Previous sentence requires an approved asphalt release agent.
    - In Section 8.3.2., revise text to active voice.
  - In Section 9. Quartering Method Apparatus:
    - In Section 9.1., clarified text for the quartering template to require template to be formed in the shape of a 90-degree cross with equal length sides that exceed the diameter of the flattened cone of material to be quartered.
    - In Section 9.1., replaced Figure 5 and relabeled to Quartering Template.

88

SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO AASHTO STANDARDS TO BE PUBLISHED IN 2023

- R 47-23, Reducing Samples of Asphalt Mixtures to Testing Size (Continued):
  - In Section 10. Procedure of Quartering Method:
    - In Section 10.3., clarified text requiring flattening of conical pile to a diameter of four to eight times the thickness.
    - In Section 10.5, clarified text by adding new subsections for Quartering and Sectoring.
  - In Section 11. Incremental Method Apparatus:
    - In Section 11.1., deleted text about sampling as sampling is covered in Section 6.1.
  - In Section 12. Procedure for Incremental Method:
    - In Section 12.1., revised text to active voice and revised text to only include the requirements for a hard, non-stick, level surface to perform the incremental method.
    - Section 12.2 (new), added text from Section 12.1. regarding placing the sample on the level surface and requiring not to lose any material or introduce any foreign material.

89

SIGNIFICANT UPDATES TO AASHTO STANDARDS TO BE PUBLISHED IN 2023

- R XXX-23, Characterizing the Relaxation Behavior of Asphalt Binders Using the Delta Tc (ΔTc) Parameter:
  - Formerly PP 113.
  - Adopted as a full standard.
  - R XXX (Designation Number TBD)

90


?

91


FOLLOW PENNDOT
www.PennDOT.gov
www.DMV.pa.gov
PennsylvaniaDepartmentofTransportation
PennDOTNews
PennsylvaniaDOT
PennDOTSec
/company/PennDOT
PennDOTSec
PennsylvaniaDOT
pennsylvania

92

PennDOT Plant Technician Certification

Annual Update/Refresher Course

Virtual Format
Tuesday, January 24, 2023
1:00 pm to 1:45 pm

Bruce Barkevich, Vice President
New York Construction Materials Association

1

Outline of Presentation

- Who is NYMaterials
- Navigating NYSDOT Specs
- Superpave – The Original Spec Changes
- PG vs. MSCR – Liquid Binders
- PEM – Performance Engineered Mixes
- Joint Construction & Joint Adhesive
- Warm Mix Asphalt
- Our Challenges

2

Who Are We, NYMaterials?



- New York Construction Materials Association is a Trade Association representing the Aggregate, Asphalt, and Concrete Producers in New York State.
- The Association works with the State Agencies, Engineers, private owners, and other stakeholders developing specifications, initiatives, etc.

3

New York State Dept. of Transportation Website

- https://www.dot.ny.gov/index
- Or search: NYSDOT



4

New York State Dept. of Transportation Website



5

New York State Dept. of Transportation Website



6

New York State Dept. of Transportation Website



7

New York State Dept. of Transportation Website



8

New York State Dept. of Transportation Website



9

What In NYSDOT Spec is Important to Producers?

- Guarantees a certain amount of Testing & QC

  Materials Method 5.16 – Mix Design and Verification Procedure

  Materials Procedure 401 – QC & QA Procedures for Asphalt Production

  Many other Methods & Procedures – MP 402-02, MP 417-01, MP 417-02, etc.

10

MP 401 – QC/QA for HMA

- Agg Gradation – 1 Per Lot (days production)
- Air voids – 1 Per Sublot (1,000 Tons)
- Mix Temp – 2 Per Sublot
- PG Binder Content – 1 Per Sublot
- RAP Binder Content – 2 Per Week or as Per Control Plan
- RAP Gradation – 2 Per Week or as Per Control Plan
- RAP Moisture – 1 Per Day or as Per Control Plan

See Table IV of MP 401 – Sampling & Testing
https://www.dot.ny.gov/divisions/engineering/technical-services/materials-bureau-repository/mp401.pdf

11

Superpave in NYS Important Mix Changes

- NYSDOT & Industry (NYMaterials) worked closely to address Superpave Mix Issues
- 2008 – Decreased air void requirements from 4.0 to 3.5%
- Fined up the mixes as well
- End result was more AC in the mixes – durability & crack resistance

12



13



14



15



16



17



18

New PG Grading System (MSCR)

Requirements

- S = Standard: $J_{nr} \leq 4.5\ kPa^{-1}$
- H = Heavy: $J_{nr} \leq 2.0\ kPa^{-1}$
- V = Very Heavy: $J_{nr} \leq 1.0\ kPa^{-1}$
- E = Extreme: $J_{nr} \leq 0.5\ kPa^{-1}$

19

New PG Grading System (MSCR-Multiple Stress Creep Recovery)

Most important slide of this section!!!

CURRENT GRADE M320	NEW GRADE MP-19	
PG 58-34	PG 58E-34	
PG 64-22	PG 64S-22	(Standard Upstate)
PG 64-22P	PG 64V-22	(Polymer Upstate)
PG 70-22	PG 64H-22	
PG 76-22	PG 64E-22	(Downstate Polymer)

20

Quantifying the Benefits of PMA

- Decreased Distress Levels
- Increased Service Life
- LCCA Can Be Utilized to Understand the True Economics of PMA
- Expectation is pavements will have an increased service life of 50% with polymer in the surface course only
- Research project taking place which will help determine when to use polymers
- NYSDOT has been 100% Polymer Modified Liquid for 10 years

21

Performance Testing & Performance Engineered Mixtures (PEM)

22

Original Intent of HMA Design

- Get as much asphalt binder in the mixture to improve the Durability until the Stability of the mixture is no longer acceptable. Somewhere in the middle the mix is "balanced"!

(Hveem, 1940)

23



24

Rutting Test

25

Cracking Tests

26



27

NYMaterials Cracking Tests

SCB - NY

IDT - NY

January 11, 2017

28



January 11, 2017

29

PERFORMANCE ENGINEERED MIXTURES (PEM) EVALUATION USING PERFORMANCE TESTING

Description

This note covers the requirements of Performance Engineered mixes (PEM) for Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) or Warm Mix Asphalt (WMA) for Top Course mixtures. The requirements are mixture design, verification, and production under a performance testing process. All provisions of Sections 401 Asphalt Production of the NYS Standard Specifications apply except as modified below.

Mixture Design Process

HMA mixtures shall be designed to meet the requirements of New York State Materials Method 5.16, *Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Mixture Design and Mixture Verification Procedures*. Mixture should meet or exceed the performance testing requirements specified in Table 1, unless waived by the Regional Materials Engineer.

Table 1 – Performance Testing Criteria

Test Methods	Criteria	Min. Design Value	Max. COV
AASHTO T 393-21 Flexibility Index Test	Flexibility Index	8	≤40
ASTM D6931-17 Indirect Tensile Strength Test	IDT Strength	30 psi	≤40
ASTM D8225-19 Determination of CT Index	CT Index	135	≤40

In no case shall the job mix tolerance fall outside the Control Points of the control sieves.

Sample Fabrication & Testing

1. **Producer** – The Producer shall do the following:
   a. Fabricate two sets of samples under the methods provided in Table 2 - *Performance Testing Criteria*.
   b. Test one set and submit the second set of samples to the Regional Materials Lab.
   c. Submit sufficient plant-produced mixture to the Regional Materials Lab for fabrication of a third set of samples for performance testing.
   d. **Additional Cross-Lab Testing:** RME may request additional loose mixture for further testing. The RML will fabricate samples for additional testing, to be done by the Producer. lab

   The PEM mixture design, the plant-produced mixture, and the second set of samples shall be submitted to the Regional Materials Lab no less than 14 days prior to production. The Producer may supply raw material in place of plant-produced mixture, with the concurrence of the RME.

2. **Regional Materials Lab (RML)** – The RML will do the following:
   a. Fabricate samples under the methods provided in Table 2 for performance testing using the plant produced mixture supplied by the Producer.
   b. Test the fabricated samples and the Producer fabricated second set samples to determine if they meet the performance criteria referenced in Table 1.

Page 1 of 5

30

PEM – Performance Engineered Mixtures

INDIRECT TENSILE ASPHALT CRACKING TEST (IDEAL-CT)

Table 3 - Production Testing and Sampling Table

Plant Test Property	Test Method	Producer Testing Frequency[1]	Department Testing Frequency[2]
PG Binder Content	Automation, Ignition Oven (NY 400-15C), or AASHTO T 164 Method A or B	One per sublot	One per Day (enough material for two tests)
Aggregate Gradation	AASHTO T27	One per Sublot	One per Day (enough material for two tests)
Air Voids	MM 5.16, AASHTO T269	One per 3 Lots	One per 3 Days
Indirect Tensile Strength	ASTM D6931-17	One per 3 Lots	One per 3 Days
Determination of CT Index OR[3]	ASTM D8225-19	One per 3 Lots	One per 3 Days
SCB Flexibility Test OR[3]	AASHTO T 393-21	One per 3 Lots	One per 3 Days

1. All sampling at the plant
2. All sampling at the paver
3. Shall be agreed to by RME and Producer

31

31

32

Interesting Facts on RAP

- Of the 370 million tons of HMA produced annually, 1/3 of these tons contain RAP (increasing)
- The existing 4 million miles of paved roads in the US holds roughly 270 billion gallons of petroleum reserves.
  RAP allows the HMA industry to tap these reserves

Cities and States utilizing recycle asphalt pavement, save their taxpayers over $3.0 billion/per year!!!!

33

NYSDOT Allowable RAP Percentages

Mix Type	Standard Mix	RAP
6.3mm	N/A	20.0%
9.5 mm	Type 7 Top	20.0%
12.5 mm	Type 6 Top	20.0%
19.0 mm	Type 3 Binder	20.0%
25.0 mm	Base/Binder	20.0%
37.5 mm	Type 1 Base	30.0%

NYC – 30% minimum RAP in all mixes
Looking at increasing with Performance Testing!!!

Governors CLCPA (Climate Act) will push RAP percentages up over next few years – LCA calculations – RAP is one of top sustainable efforts

34

Two Basic Types of Joints



35

36

SECTION 418 – ASPHALT PAVEMENT JOINT ADHESIVE
(New Section September, 2016)

418-1 DESCRIPTION

This work shall consist of furnishing and installing joint adhesive in accordance with the contract documents and as directed by the Engineer.

418-2 MATERIALS

Use a product which appears on the NYSDOT Approved List under ASPHALT PAVEMENT JOINT ADHESIVE (705-19) meeting the requirements of §705-19.

418-3 CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

General. Furnish all equipment that is necessary to clean the construction joint and to apply the joint adhesive. Use equipment meeting the description and/or performance requirements described herein and approved by the Engineer. Apply the joint adhesive to the construction joints.

Joint Preparation. Prepare longitudinal and transverse construction joints as discussed below and place adjacent asphalt pavement on the same day that the joint adhesive is applied.

Use a high pressure air lance to thoroughly clean the joint surface of dust, dirt, foreign material, sand and any other extraneous materials immediately prior to applying the joint adhesive. Install suitable traps or devices on the compressed air equipment to prevent moisture and oil from contaminating the joint surfaces. Maintain these devices and see that they are functioning properly. Protect the public from potentially objectionable and/or hazardous airborne debris.

Joint Adhesive. Heat and melt the joint adhesive in a melter constructed either as a double boiler filled with a heat-transfer medium between the inner and outer shells, or with internal tubes or coils carrying joint adhesive through a heated oil bath and into a heated double wall hopper. The melter will be equipped with separate thermometers to indicate the temperature of the heat transfer medium and the joint adhesive material, positive temperature controls, and with a mechanical agitator or a recirculation pump to assure a homogeneous blend of the joint adhesive.

Check the discharge temperature of the joint adhesive with a non-contact infrared thermometer or other suitable thermometer. Discharge the joint adhesive at the manufacturer's recommended application temperature and maintain the joint adhesive at ± 10°F of the application temperature indicated on the material packaging.

Applying joint adhesive is not permitted if the melter and discharge temperatures do not meet the requirements described above.

Equip the discharge hose with a thermostatically controlled heating apparatus or insulate it to maintain the proper joint adhesive application temperature. Holster the discharge hose to the melter if it is not thermostatically heat controlled. Circulate the joint adhesive from the discharge hose and the melter to maintain the proper joint adhesive application temperature.

Do not use joint adhesive material that has been heated beyond the safe heating temperature. If the manufacturer's recommendations allow the joint adhesive to be reheated or heated in excess of six hours,

37

Warm Mix Asphalt (WMA) Or Workability Mix Additive (WMA)

38

Yellowstone Paving

39

Advantages of Lower Temperatures

- Lower fumes and emissions (~30-90%)
- Lower energy consumption (~30%)
- Lower plant wear
- Decreased binder aging
- Early site opening
- Cool weather paving
- Compaction aid for stiff mixes
- Cooler working conditions

40

Approval Process

- Department Requires all WMA Technology to meet our 712-10 Specification to be added to NYSDOT Approved Materials List.
- All Testing done through the National Transportation Product Evaluation Program (NTPEP). Resubmittal for testing of Organic and Chemical Technologies is required every 7 years.
- The NTPEP testing and evaluation is done by comparing a control mix to the control mix with the additive or foaming Technology.

Material Specification	Test Requirement
PG Binder Properties, AASHTO M332	The resulting grade determination must not decrease more than 3 degrees from the true grade of the PG binder.
Tensile Strength Ratio (TSR), AASHTO T283	≥ 80%
Rutting Test using the Hamburg Wheel Test, AASHTO T324	WMA can't exceed ¼ inch (6 mm) difference from the control HMA.

41

NYSDOT Approved List

WARM MIX ASPHALT (WMA) TECHNOLOGIES

A. ORGANIC (WAXES) ADDITIVES (712-1010)

B. CHEMICAL ADDITIVES (712-1020)

C. FOAMING PROCESSES (712-1030)

42

Information Table

B. CHEMICAL ADDITIVES (712-1020)

TECHNOLOGY	TECHNOLOGY PROVIDER	CONTACT	DETAILS (Approval Date)	EXPIRATION DATE
Anova® 1501	Cargill Industrial Specialities 13400 15th Ave N. Suite B Plymouth, MN 55441	Hassan A. Taherbhoy, Ph. D. 763-577-3059 Hassan_taherbhoy@cargill.com	Anova® 1501 (09/05/2017)	09/05/2024
CECABASE RT	Arkema Road Science 6502 S. Yale Avenue Tulsa, OK 74136-8329	Heather O'Hara 863-800-4685 (Cell) 918-960-3800 (Office) hohara@roadscience.net	CECABASE RT (04/23/2020)	04/23/2027
CWM	Chemeonn Ltd. 7374 Main Street Cincinnati, OH 45244	Wayne Worden 315-525-3037 WWorden@barrettpaving.com	CWM (rev. 03/2017)	03/31/2024
Evotherm 3G WMA (M1, M15, M17, M18, J1, J12, U3, and P25)	Ingevity Corporation 5255 Virginia Avenue North Charleston, SC 29406	Bryan Peckt 843-259-7605 bryan.peckt@ingevity.com	Evotherm 3G WMA Products (06/07/2019)	06/07/2026
Fastac	XBE LLC 520 W. Pennway Street Kansas City, MO	Matt Lerner 402-290-7738 matt-lerner@x-b-e.com	Fastac (10/14/2021)	10/14/2028
Low Emission Asphalt-Lite (LEA-Lite)	McConnaughay Technologies 1911 Lorings Crossing Cortland, NY 13045	Gary Forex 607-752-1100 ext. 311 gforex@mat-lote.com	LEA-Lite (06/08/2017)	06/08/2024
Rediset LQ	Nouryon 131 S. Dearborn Street Suite 1000 Chicago, IL 60603	Anne-Marie Girard 401-636-9085 Anne-Marie.Girard@nouryon.com	Rediset LQ (06/08/2017)	06/08/2024
ZycoTherm-SP	Zydex Industries 61 Goti Sevasi Road Goti, Vadodara, Gujarat, India Distributed By: All State Materials Group 901 River Road Deerfield, MA 01342	Jon Peppne 413-223-5027 jpeppne@nuna.com	ZycoTherm-SP (03/23/2018)	03/23/2025

43

Detail Link – Technology Specific Information

For example

Consider a mix with a target Evotherm chemical additive dosage of 0.5% by weight total binder.
The total binder content of the mix is 5% but 0.8% of the binder comes from RAP added to the mix.

Working with recycled materials.

$$\frac{\text{(\% Target Evotherm Dosage) (\% Total Asphalt Binder)}}{\text{(\% Total Asphalt Binder - \% Binder from Recycled)}} = \text{\% Adjusted Evotherm Dosage}$$

In this example:

$$\frac{(0.5)\ (5)}{(5\text{-}0.8)} = 0.595 \sim 0.6\% \text{ Adjusted Evotherm Dosage Rate}$$

Material Used	Typical Starting Dosage
Virgin Mix	0.4%
PMA	0.5%
RAP 10% or less	0.4% of total binder content
RAP more than 10%	0.4% of total binder content
RAP 10% or less/PMA	0.5% of total binder content
RAP more than 10%/PMA	0.5% of total binder content
RAP/RAS	0.4% of total binder content
RAP/RAS/PMA	0.5% of total binder content

Evotherm 3G	PPA Compatible
M1	NO
M15	NO
M17	NO
M18	NO
J1	NO
J12	NO
U3	NO
P25	YES

44

Warm Mix Asphalt – Not a New Thing

- 2005 - First Test Section in R-1
- 2006 – 2 Test Sections in R-3
- 2007 – >34,000 tons in R-3
- 2009 – Industry–DOT–FHWA Working Group
- 2012 – Contractor Option to Use WMA
- 2012-2021 – Some Required Use of WMA
- 2022 – 100% Use





45



Region	Total Tons	HMA Tons	WMA Tons	%WMA
1	567,627	427,532	140,095	24.7%
2	242,662	41,021	201,641	83.1%
3	557,196	224,185	333,011	59.8%
4	314,160	80,758	233,402	74.3%
5	510,410	478,137	32,273	6.3%
6	251,813	251,813	0	0.0%
7	324,305	199,629	124,676	38.4%
8	392,669	392,669	0	0.0%
9	646,261	204,487	441,774	68.4%
10	317,784	262,561	55,223	17.4%
11	86,109	53,975	32,134	37.3%
STATEWIDE	4,210,996	2,616,767	1,594,229	37.9%

46

Where are we today? (Nationally)

- Warm Mix is the future of asphalt paving
- Goal Nationally is to have 50% of all tons produced to be WMA within the next 5 Years.
- The producers and contractors will decide if asphalt paving should be HMA or WMA
- Currently there are approximately 30 or so Technologies available, but the Market will reduce the number of the technologies by their cost effectiveness and user friendliness.
- Of the 370 Million tons produced in 2020, over 100 million of those utilized Warm Mix (30% of all mix)

47

Where are we today? (NYS)

- On September 21, 2021, NYSDOT issued Engineering Bulletin: EB 21-047 – Revisions to the Comprehensive Pavement Design Manual (CPDM) Chapter 6 – Section 6.2
- This revision moved NYSDOT to 100% Warm Mix Asphalt with a true temperature reduction (295°F at the paver). One of the first states to move in this direction.
- NYSDOT Standard Spec Book already has "404" Items (Warm Mix Asphalt). All projects will incorporate 404 Items instead of 402 Items (Hot Mix Asphalt)

NEW YORK STATE OF OPPORTUNITY. Department of Transportation | ENGINEERING BULLETIN | EB 21-047

Title: REVISIONS TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PAVEMENT DESIGN MANUAL (CPDM) CHAPTER 6 – SECTION 6.2 HOT MIX ASPHALT

Approved:
/s/ Russell D. Thielke 09/21/2021
Russell D. Thielke, P.E. Date
Acting Director, Materials Bureau

Expires one year after issue unless replaced sooner

48

- NYSDOT is now 100% Polymer Modified Asphalt and 100% Warm Mix Asphalt
- This same EB had another important change that Industry has been pushing for. Revised Lift Thicknesses to Table 6-7 to match the 3X to 4X the NMAS guidance: Chapter 6, Page 6-12

Table 6-7 Limits on Permissible Lift Thicknesses

Maximum Nominal Aggregate Size (mm)	Minimum Lift Thickness (inches)	Maximum Lift Thickness (inches)
37.5	4	6
25.0	3	5
19.0	2½	4
12.5	2	2½
9.5	1½	2
6.3	¾	1

49

- On September 6, 2022, NYSDOT issued Engineering Instruction: EI 22-023
  - Revisions to Several Standard Specifications to be effective January 1, 2023.
    - *Standard Specification 402 Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Pavements* was eliminated
    - *Standard Specification 404 Warm Mix Asphalt (WMA) Pavements was updated to Standard Specification 404 Asphalt Pavements. All 402 language was moved to it, but without the Hot Mix Asphalt references.*
- January/February 2023, NYSDOT to issue new Engineering Bulletin: EB 23-XXX
  - Update Hot Mix (HMA) and Warm Mix (WMA and 402 References Within Multiple Documents to be effective upon approval.)

NEW YORK STATE OF OPPORTUNITY. Department of Transportation | ENGINEERING INSTRUCTION | EI 22-023

Title: REVISIONS TO THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS 302, 401, 402, 403, 404, 407, 417, 505, 608, 609, 619, 624, 633, 638, 653, 654, 703, 705, 712, 726, & 727

SUPERSEDED BY EB 22-050 EFFECTIVE 1/1/23

Approved: Russell D. Trieble, P.E. for Deputy Chief Engineer, Research — 09/06/2022 Date

50

~~adhesive, and repairs of pavement, and filling of cracks will be paid separately except when the joint adhesive is applied under §402-3.01E.~~

~~Payment of Quality Adjustments will be made based on the number of Quality Units multiplied by the fixed index price for Quality Adjustment to HMA Items listed in the contract documents for the quantity placed on the day the Quality Units represent.~~

~~Payment will be made under:~~

Item No.	Item	Pay Unit
402.011904	Type 2 F9, Asphalt-Treated Permeable Base Course	Ton
402.017904	Truing & Leveling F9, HMA, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
402.018904	Truing & Leveling F9, HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.058904	Shim Course F9, HMA	Ton
402.068104	6.3 F1 Top Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.068204	6.3 F2 Top Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.068304	6.3 F3 Top Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.095104	9.5 F1 Top Course HMA, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
402.095204	9.5 F2 Top Course HMA, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
402.096104	9.5 F1 Top Course HMA, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
402.096204	9.5 F2 Top Course HMA, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
402.096304	9.5 F3 Top Course HMA, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
402.097104	9.5 F1 Top Course HMA, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
402.097204	9.5 F2 Top Course HMA, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
402.097304	9.5 F3 Top Course HMA, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
402.098104	9.5 F1 Top Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.098204	9.5 F2 Top Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.098304	9.5 F3 Top Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.098904	9.5 F9 T&L or Shoulder Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.125104	12.5 F1 Top Course HMA, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
402.125204	12.5 F2 Top Course HMA, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
402.126104	12.5 F1 Top Course HMA, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
402.126204	12.5 F2 Top Course HMA, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
402.126304	12.5 F3 Top Course HMA, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
402.127104	12.5 F1 Top Course HMA, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
402.127204	12.5 F2 Top Course HMA, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
402.127304	12.5 F3 Top Course HMA, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
402.128104	12.5 F1 Top Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.128204	12.5 F2 Top Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.128304	12.5 F3 Top Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.128904	12.5 F9 T&L or Shoulder Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.195904	19 F9 Binder Course HMA, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
402.196904	19 F9 Binder Course HMA, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
402.197904	19 F9 Binder Course HMA, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
402.198904	19 F9 Binder Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.255904	25 F9 Binder Course HMA, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
402.256904	25 F9 Binder Course HMA, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
402.257904	25 F9 Binder Course HMA, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
402.258904	25 F9 Binder Course HMA, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
402.376904	37.5 F9 Base Course HMA, 60 Series Compaction	Ton

51

Item No.	Item	Pay Unit
404.011901	Type 2 F9, Asphalt-Treated Permeable Base Course	Ton
404.017901	Truing & Leveling F9, Asphalt, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
404.018901	Truing & Leveling F9, Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.058901	Shim Course F9, Asphalt	Ton
404.068101	6.3 F1 Top Course Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.068201	6.3 F2 Top Course Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.068301	6.3 F3 Top Course Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.095101	9.5 F1 Top Course Asphalt, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
404.095201	9.5 F2 Top Course Asphalt, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
404.096101	9.5 F1 Top Course Asphalt, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
404.096201	9.5 F2 Top Course Asphalt, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
404.096301	9.5 F3 Top Course Asphalt, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
404.097101	9.5 F1 Top Course Asphalt, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
404.097201	9.5 F2 Top Course Asphalt, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
404.097301	9.5 F3 Top Course Asphalt, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
404.098101	9.5 F1 Top Course Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.098201	9.5 F2 Top Course Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.098301	9.5 F3 Top Course Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.098901	9.5 F9 T&L or Shoulder Course Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.125101	12.5 F1 Top Course Asphalt, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
404.125201	12.5 F2 Top Course Asphalt, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
404.126101	12.5 F1 Top Course Asphalt, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
404.126201	12.5 F2 Top Course Asphalt, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
404.126301	12.5 F3 Top Course Asphalt, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
404.127101	12.5 F1 Top Course Asphalt, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
404.127201	12.5 F2 Top Course Asphalt, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
404.127301	12.5 F3 Top Course Asphalt, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
404.128101	12.5 F1 Top Course Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.128201	12.5 F2 Top Course Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.128301	12.5 F3 Top Course Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.128901	12.5 F9 T&L or Shoulder Course Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.125901	12.5 F9 Binder Course, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
404.126901	12.5 F9 Binder Course, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
404.127901	12.5 F9 Binder Course, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
404.195901	19 F9 Binder Course Asphalt, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
404.196901	19 F9 Binder Course Asphalt, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
404.197901	19 F9 Binder Course Asphalt, 70 Series Compaction	Ton
404.198901	19 F9 Binder Course Asphalt, 80 Series Compaction	Ton
404.255901	25 F9 Binder Course Asphalt, 50 Series Compaction	Ton
404.256901	25 F9 Binder Course Asphalt, 60 Series Compaction	Ton
404.257901	25 F9 Binder Course Asphalt, 70 Series Compaction	Ton

173

Sections 200 - 599 Volume 2

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS (USC) January 1, 2023

52

SECTION 402 - VACANT

SECTION 403- VACANT

SECTION 404 - ~~WARM MIX~~ ASPHALT ~~(WMA)~~ PAVEMENTS

404-1 DESCRIPTION. These specifications apply to all plant mixed asphalt produced at a production facility under Section 401 *Plant Production*

This work will consist of providing, placing, and performing density monitoring of one or more courses of asphalt pavement constructed on the prepared foundation in accordance with the contract documents or as directed by the Engineer.

~~These specifications apply to all plant mixed Warm Mix Asphalt (WMA) produced at a production facility under Section 401 *Plant Production*, irrespective of aggregate gradation, type, and amount of WMA material or use. WMA is standard HMA produced using a WMA technology that can result in production mixture temperatures of 295°F or lower.~~

~~This work will consist of providing, placing, and performing density monitoring of one or more courses of WMA pavement constructed on the prepared foundation in accordance with the contract documents or as directed by the Engineer.~~

~~The words "hot mix asphalt" and "HMA" in the Standard Specifications and other documents referenced by this specification shall apply to WMA.~~

404-2 – MATERIALS. ~~Requirements of §401-2 and §402-2 shall apply except as noted herein.~~

404-2.01 General. Aggregate, Performance Graded (PG) Binder, and Warm Mix Asphalt Technology shall be from suppliers listed in the Department's Approved List for Fine and Coarse Aggregates, Performance Graded (PG) Binders and Warm Mix Asphalt Technology for Asphalt Paving, respectively. Mineral filler shall meet the requirements of §703-08.

154

Sections 200 - 599 Volume 2

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS (USC) January 1, 2023

53

TEMPERATURE

402 -3.06 Spreading and Finishing

C. HMA Mixture Temperature. HMA mixture shall not to exceed 325°F at the point of discharge of the haul vehicle, unless a higher temperature is approved by the Regional Materials Engineer.

404 -3 CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

Mix Temperature. The desired WMA mixture temperature shall be within the mixing and compaction range recommended by the WMA Technology provider not to exceed 295°F at the point of discharge of the haul vehicle, unless a higher temperature is approved by the Regional Materials Engineer.

54

2022 Experience With 100% WMA

- The construction season was quiet for the most part from our regions
- There was a learning curve early with some of the regions, mostly from regions where WMA wasn't utilized as much.
- Pre-pave meeting with all parties attending proved to be invaluable. It allowed our RME to work with industry to quickly address any concerns.
- No major issue were raised to or by our RME.
- We found that having the right dosing rate for the right weather conditions was important. Mainly at the beginning of the paving season. Things were worked out by late season paving.
- Another important aspect was making sure trucks were properly tarped during transit. This proved beneficial especially during early and late construction season.
- We don't require this, but the use of insulated truck beds proved beneficial during transit.
- We feel it was a winning season with our transition to 100% WMA.

55

Today's & This Year's Theme

Giving our pavements the best chance for success!

56

Our Challenge Great Quote

Jim Jordan
NYSDOT Region 4 Regional Materials Engineer

"We spend all this time tweaking the materials side of our business when only 9% of all problems with our roads are materials related. 91% of our problems are other issues"

57

Our Challenge!!!!

- Use our money wisely
- Be your own advocate
- Use all the resources in your tool box:
  NYSDOT, Industry Trade Association – AGC,
  NYMaterials, Asphalt Institute, Consultants,
  NCAT, Cornell Local Roads Program (LTAP)
- Protect your investment because it is your livelihood

Speak positively about our mixes!!

58

THANK YOU!!! Questions?????

Contact Information:

Bruce Barkevich
New York Construction Materials Association
11 Century Hill Drive
Latham, New York 12110
Phone: (518) 783-0909
bruce@nymaterials.com
Webpage: www.nymaterials.com

59

A Producer's Perspective of a successful Implementation of Balanced Mix Design.

1

Allan Myers is currently in 4 States with 4 different DOT approaches to BMD implementation.



2

2018 VDOT implemented a High RAP BMD option.

- Required testing of production mix:
  - Daily APA Rut Testing
  - 4 cores @ 7% voids less than 8.0 mm rut. Samples ran by VRTC – T340 except 120psi.
  - Cantabro – every 500 tons volumetric cores - less than 7.5% loss.
  - CTindex – every 500 tons 7% voids – At least 70 CT-index.
  - Gradation AC – every 500 tons
  - Volumetrics – every 500 tons – these cores can be used for Cantabro
- No Producers in Virginia volunteered

3

Allan Myers BMD Prep 2018

- Equipment:
  - Purchased APA Junior from PTI
  - Purchased Smart Jig from Instrotek
  - Serviced and Calibrated Pine Presses
  - Got permission from Quarry QC to use LA Abrasion Machine for Cantabro Testing.
- Plan was to begin establishing baseline values for mixes.
- Concerns:
  - Distance and travel from Virginia, Maryland and Delaware to Paradise Pennsylvania Central Lab.
  - 7% +/- 0.5% Air Voids. Sometimes took multiple tries and material was in the oven for extended periods of time.
  - Keeping CT-Index cores dry while bath at 77F

4

BMD Testing

- APA Junior for APA Rut Test
- CT-Index Jig
- LA Abrasion Machine for Cantabro

5

2019 NCAT Round Robin



6

At 10,000 passes we reported 2.62 mm of rut.



Figure 1: Boxplot and Histogram of Hamburg Rut Depths at 10,000 passes

7

At 20,000 passes we reported 3.06



Figure 2: Boxplot and Histogram of Hamburg Rut Depths at 20,000 passes

8

2020 CT Index Round Robin Ph. 1

VTRC Virginia Transportation Research Council

VDOT

VDOT Round Robin Testing Program for the Indirect Tensile Cracking Test (IDT-CT) at Intermediate Temperature: *Phase I.*

9

Summary of Allan Myers results

Summary Data

Table 2. Summary of IDT-CT Parameters for Package 5.

Package ID		Package 5			
Lab Name		Allan Myers Paradise Central		Test Operator	Tim Peffer
Equipment		Instrotek Smart Jig – Pine 850T		Machine Type	Screw-Drive
ID	Data Collection Frequency (Hz)	Average Loading Rate (mm/min)	Reported CT_{Index}	Calculated CT_{Index}	Observations
A5	100.0	52.9	38	38	Loading rate outside 50±2 mm/ min
A59	100.0	52.8	41	41	Loading rate outside 50±2 mm/ min
A129	100.0	53.1	34	34	Loading rate outside 50±2 mm/ min
A167	100.0	52.7	50	50	Loading rate outside 50±2 mm/ min
A221	100.0	52.4	67	67	Loading rate outside 50±2 mm/ min
Average / Mean			46	46	
Standard Deviation			13.3	13.2	
Coefficient of Variation			28.8	28.8	
B5	100.0	51.9	218	218	No issues
B63	100.0	51.2	193	192	No issues
B119	100.0	52.6	107	106	Loading rate outside 50±2 mm/ min
B176	100.0	51.7	169	169	No issues
B240	100.0	52.2	127	127	Loading rate outside 50±2 mm/ min
Average / Mean			163	162	
Standard Deviation			45.9	45.8	
Coefficient of Variation			28.2	28.2	

General Comments:
For test results with loading rate outside the 50±2 mm/min range, the data was only considered in the 2nd analysis "30 data sets per mix type".

10

Our results were 46 and 163 with COV of 28.8 and 28.2.

A concern with loading rate.

COV over 15 is a concern.



Figure 2. Individual Reported CT_{Index} Values for Mixture A and Mixture B.

11

2021 VDOT BMD Production Testing

Initial Special Provision

2021 Special Provision:

Mix design

Cantabro - design AC and -0.5% AC
APA - design AC and +0.5% AC
CTindex - design AC and ±0.5%, and design AC with long-term aging

Production (4,000T lot)

Property/Test	Frequency (tons)	Total Specimens per Lot
CTindex – QC	1,000	20
Cantabro – QC	1,000	12
CTindex – VDOT QA	2,000	10
Cantabro – VDOT QA	2,000	6
Rutting – VDOT QA	2,000	8

Contractor will make VDOT specimens.

12

2021 VDOT BMD Pilot at Rockville, Va. Lab

- Design asphalt content stayed the same
- Removed natural sand in order to meet APA CT-Index.
- Adjusted gradation accordingly
- RAP stayed at 30%. The maximum allowed for the mix spec.
- 2 Lab Technician working exclusively on the BMD testing requirements. A 3rd. Lab Tech worked a second shift to complete Cantabro and CT-Index testing
- Cantabro results were 2% to 5%. Well under the 7.5% maximum.
- CT-Index results were all over 100 but COV's were often over 15%.
- No APA Rut results from VDOT yet.
- Air Voids started at over 5% but were tuned in to 3-4% by end of the project.
- Full incentive pay for AC content = At target and less than .15 StDev

13

VDOT Special Provision

Refine Special Provision

2022 Pilot Projects

Testing Frequency (4,000T lot)

Property/Test	Frequency (tons)	Total Specimens per Lot
CTindex – QC	2,000	10
Cantabro – QC	2,000	6
CTindex – VDOT QA	4,000	5
Cantabro – VDOT QA	4,000	3
Rutting – VDOT QA	Once per mix	4 per mix

Testing halved from 2021

Contractor will make VDOT specimens.
Report results w/in 1 week (recommended 48hrs)

No pay adjustment for performance tests
If failure, stop production and make corrective actions
Acceptance ranges for volumetrics/gradation follow section 211
BMD is eligible for Std. Deviation Bonus (and asphalt price adjustment)

14

2022 VDOT BMD Pilot at Leesburg, Va. Lab

- Mix Design Modifications:
  - Design asphalt content increased 0.1 to 0.2% to increase CT-Index
  - Removed natural sand to meet CT-Index and Cantabro.
  - Adjusted gradation accordingly.
  - RAP stayed at 30%. The maximum allowed for the mix spec.
- Staffing:
  - 2 Lab Technicians working exclusively on the BMD testing. We did not require a 3rd with reduced requirements from 2021
- Lab Test Results:
  - Cantabro results on 12.5mm were higher, up 6%
  - CT-Index for 12.5mm were lower but still over 100. COV on 5 sample sets were almost always over 15%.
  - No APA Rut results yet from VDOT
  - Air voids all within spec. Lessons learned from 2021
- Full Incentive Pay for AC content

15

VDOT BMD Production Criteria (2024)

Distress	Test	Limit
Cracking	IDT-CT (reheat)	70 (min)
	IDT-CT (non-reheat)	95 (min)
Rutting	APA rut test	8mm (max)
	IDT-HT	Report
Durability	Cantabro	7.5% (max)
Moisture	Tensile Strength Ratio	80% (min)

2024 VDOT BMD Proposal

16

PennDOT Pilot Projects

- CT-Index as low as the 80's
- Hamburg Rutting approaching 7
- Lab Mix Only
- Requires additional design time
- 2023 Design submittal season so far has seen results in line with prior results.
- No significant changes to existing designs. – SO FAR

17

Test	AASHTO	DelDOT	Maryland SHA	PennDOT	VDOT
APA Rut	T340	Yes	Design Only		Yes
Hamburg	T324			Design Only	
CT-Index		Yes	Yes	Design Only	Yes
HT-IDT	AMRL 8225		Yes		Yes
Cantabro	TP108				Yes
Texas Overlay		Yes			

Current tests in our footprint

18

Lessons Learned

- Hamburg Testing:
  - make sure side spacers are fully locked to the bottom of the spacer plate
  - Allow bottom reservoir to rinse often after test completion. Especially if breakdown occurred.
  - Calibration and maintenance of APA Jr. is important.
- CT-Index:
  - make sure LVDT is slightly compressed at the start of testing 2-5mm
  - Reheating material will typically lower CT-Index results???
- Cantabro:
  - Results are impacted by temperature; Test area should be 75-80F

19

Thanks!

- Tim Peffer
- Director of Asphalt QC
- Tim.Peffer@allanmyers.com
- 484-368-2906

20

PENN STATE

Asphalt Rejuvenating Agents



Plant Technician Certification Program January 2023

Northeast Center of Excellence For Pavement Technology
NECEPT

1

Acknowledgement

- PennDOT Sponsored Research
- Project Start Date: September, 2019
- Project End Date: September, 2022
- Project Manager: Heather Sorce (PennDOT)
- Project Technical Advisors: Neal Fannin & Kevin Gnegy
- Research Team:
  - Mansour Solaimanian (PI)
  - Scott Milander (Lab Coordinator)
  - Mahsa Tofighian (MS Student)

2

2

Outline

1. Background on Rejuvenating Agents (RA)
2. Experimental Study
   - Binder Study
   - Mixture Study
3. Results & Findings
4. Usage Guide

3

3

1 Background on Rejuvenating Agents (RA)

4

4

What Are Rejuvenators

- The higher the ratio of asphaltene to maltenes, the higher brittleness and cracking potential of asphalt binder
- Asphalt Rejuvenators peptize and polarize asphaltenes
- Rebalance the ratio of Asphaltenes to Maltenes
- Reduce cracking potential
- Maintain long-term effectiveness

Peptizing: Dispersing and Deflocculating

5

5

Where do we need rejuvenators?

- Most often when the RAP content or RAS content is high, or when a combination of RAP and RAS is used in the asphalt mixture
- Need to consider several elements to decide if RA is needed and at what dosage rate:
  - RBR (reclaimed binder ratio) from RAP/RAS
  - Performance grade of all binders (Virgin, RAP, RAS, and Target)
  - Design binder content

6

6

Rejuvenator Types

- Two Principal Categories:
  - Petroleum Based
    - Paraffinic oil, aromatic extracts, engine oil
  - Plant Based (Bio-Based)
    - vegetable oil (virgin, modified, or waste), tall oil



7

Dosage Rate Definition

- Defined in four ways based on ratio of the rejuvenator mass to the material of interest (reported in percentage).
- Dosage Rate can be reported as a percentage of
  1. Virgin Binder
  2. Recycled asphalt binder (from RAP/RAS)
  3. Total asphalt content (or total fluid content)
  4. Total mass of the asphalt mixture

8

8

2 Experimental Study

- Binder Study
- Mixture Study

9

9

Selection of Rejuvenators

Company	Product	Description	Abbreviation Used in this Study
Holly Frontier	Hydrolene H90T	Extracts (petroleum), heavy paraffinic distillate solvent	HT
Cargill	ANOVA 1815	Biobased additive	AN
Ingevity	Evoflex CA-7	Engineered additive designed to work with Evotherm®, production temperatures lower than 275°F	IN
Green Asphalt Tech	Hydrogreen S	100% natural mixtures of plant extracts, Rosins, Rosin Esters, fatty acids, and vegetable oils	HG
Krayton	Sylvaroad RP1000	Crude Tall Oil (CTO), a renewable raw material that is a by-product of the paper industry	SR

- Selection of Binders
  - PG 58S-28 (61.0—30.0)
  - PG 64S-22 (69.0-24.5)
- Selection of RAP/RAS
  - One Source of RAP (PG 90.2-17.9), BC: 5.3%
  - One Source of RAS (PG 143.0-11.9), BC: 22.7%

10

10

Binder Testing

Binder Test	AASHTO Standard	Response	Purpose
Dynamic shear rheometer at high and intermediate temperatures	T 315	Modulus and phase angle	Performance grade based on AASHTO M 320
Bending Beam Rheometer at low temperature	T 313	Binder stiffness and relaxation value (m-value)	Critical cracking temperature and ΔTC
Multiple Stress Creep and Recovery	T 350	Creep compliance and percent recovery	Potential for rutting and elastic recovery, Performance Grade based on AASHTO M 332
Short-Term Conditioning (Aging)	T 240	To deliver short-term oxidized aged material for testing and evaluation	Evaluate effect of rejuvenator on short-term aged binder
Long-Term Conditioning (Aging)	R 28	To deliver long-term oxidized aged material for testing and evaluation	Evaluate effect of rejuvenator on long-term aged binder

11

11

Dosage Rate for Binder Selection

Type of Blend	Rejuvenator Content as Percent of Total Binder
Rejuvenator + Virgin Binder	3
Rejuvenator + RAP Binder	5 and 10
Rejuvenator + Virgin Binder + RAP Binder	2

12

12

Types of Mixtures Used in This Research

Mix Type	%RAP	%RAS	Control Mix (No Rejuvenator)?	Mixes Designed with Rejuvenators			
1	15	5	Yes	IN	AN	HT	HG
2	35	0	Yes	IN	AN	HT	HG
3	0	5	Yes	IN	AN		

13

13

Mixtures Containing RA Short Term Aged

Mix Information										
MIX ID	Virgin AC, %	Total AC, %	RAP %	RAS %	Rejuv. Type	Rej. % of Total binder	Rej. % of Virgin binder	RBR from RAP	RBR from RAS	Total RBR
Specimens are short-term aged at 135C for 4 hours, followed by conditioning at 150C for 1 hour before compaction.										
Experimental Mixes (i.e., mixes with the recycling agents)										
#4	3.2	4.7	12.0	4.0	CA-7	2.38	3.54	0.13	0.19	0.33
#5	4.2	5.7	12.0	4.0	CA-7	2.58	3.54	0.11	0.16	0.27
#18	3.8	5.7	15.0	5.0	CA-7	2.35	3.54	0.14	0.20	0.34
#20	3.8	5.7	15.0	5.0	CA-7	2.35	3.54	0.14	0.20	0.34
#21	3.8	5.7	15.0	5.0	CA-7	4.70	7.08	0.14	0.20	0.34
#23	3.8	5.7	15.0	5.0	CA-7	5.30	7.99	0.14	0.20	0.34
#38	3.7	5.6	35.0	0.0	CA-7	3.20	4.80	0.33	0.00	0.33
#24	4.1	6.0	15.0	5.0	Anova	1.30	1.91	0.13	0.19	0.32
#39	3.7	5.6	35.0	0.0	HT	2.88	4.32	0.33	0.00	0.33
#40	3.7	5.6	35.0	0.0	HG	2.50	3.75	0.33	0.00	0.33
#42	3.7	5.6	35.0	0.0	Anova	1.10	1.65	0.33	0.00	0.33
#35	4.6	5.7	0.0	5.0	CA-7	1.90	2.37	0.00	0.20	0.20
#36	4.6	5.7	0.0	5.0	Anova	0.80	1.00	0.00	0.20	0.20
#25	4.1	6.0	15.0	5.0	HT	2.88	4.24	0.13	0.19	0.32
#26	4.1	6.0	15.0	5.0	HG	2.50	3.68	0.13	0.19	0.32

14

14

Mixtures Containing RA Long-Term Aged

Mix Information										
MIX ID	Virgin AC, %	Total AC, %	RAP %	RAS %	Rejuv. Type	Rej. % of Total binder	Rej. % of Virgin binder	RBR from RAP	RBR from RAS	Total RBR
Specimens are long-term aged at 135C for 8 hours, followed by conditioning at 150C for 2 hours before compaction.										
Experimental Mixes (i.e., mixes with the recycling agents)										
#24	4.1	6.0	15.0	5.0	Anova	1.30	1.91	0.13	0.19	0.32
#33	3.8	5.7	35.0	0.0	None	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.33
#39	3.7	5.6	35.0	0.0	HT	2.88	4.32	0.33	0.00	0.33
#23	3.8	5.7	15.0	5.0	CA-7	5.30	7.99	0.14	0.20	0.34
#38	3.7	5.6	35.0	0.0	CA-7	3.20	4.80	0.33	0.00	0.33

15

15

Control Mixtures (NO RA)

Mix Information										
MIX ID	Virgin AC, %	Total AC, %	RAP %	RAS %	Rejuv. Type	Rej. % of Total binder	Rej. % of Virgin binder	RBR from RAP	RBR from RAS	Total RBR
Specimens are short-term aged at 135C for 4 hours, followed by conditioning at 150C for 1 hour before compaction.										
Control Mixes (i.e., mixes without recycling agents)										
#19	3.8	5.7	15.0	5.0	None	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.20	0.34
#33	3.8	5.7	35.0	0.0	None	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.33
#37	4.6	5.7	0.0	5.0	None	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20

16

16

Characterizing the Binders



DSR ⇦



BBR ⇨

17

17

Mixture Performance Index Tests

HWT ⇨





⇦ IDEAL-CT

18

18

3 Results & Findings

Testing the Binders

19

19

Effect on RAP Binder (High Temp.)



20

20

Effect on RAP Binder (Low Temp.)



21

21

Effect on ΔTc (RAP Binder)



22

22

Recovered Binder High Temp. Grade



23

23

Recovered Binder High Temp. Grade



24

24

Recovered Binder Low Temp. Stiffness



25

25

Recovered Binder Low Temp. Stiffness



26

26

Recovered Binder Interm. Temp. Grade



27

27

3 **Results & Findings**

Testing the Mixtures

28

28

Results from HWT Test



29

29

Results from HWT Test



30

30

Results from HWT Test



31

31

Results from HWT Test



32

32

Results from HWT Test



33

33

Results from HWT Test



34

34

Results from IDEAL-CT Test



35

35

Results from IDEAL-CT Test



36

36

Results from IDEAL-CT Test



37

Results from IDEAL-CT Test



38

Results from IDEAL-CT Test



39

Results from IDEAL-CT Test



All Mixtures with 15%RAP/5%RAS

40

Results from IDEAL-CT Test



All Mixtures with 35%RAP

41

Effect of Blending Technique



42

Effect of Blending Technique



43

Effect of Blending Technique



44

Effect of Blending Technique



45

Effect of Blending Technique



46

4 Usage Guide

- The Usage Guide Covers the Following:
  - Terminology and References
  - Types of Rejuvenators
  - Blending Techniques
  - Dosage Rate Selection
  - Evaluation of Long-Term Effectiveness

47

Blending Methods



48

Dosage Rate Selection

- 1. Manufacturer's Recommendation
- 2. Blending Chart
- 3. Performance Testing and BMD

Evaluation of Long-Term Effectiveness

1. Through Binder Testing

Parameter (measured on PAV aged binder)	Change after incorporation of the rejuvenator at the recommended dosage rate
G*.sinδ at intermediate test temperature	Decrease of at least 25% in G*.sinδ
Stiffness (S) at low temperature	<300 MPa, and decrease of at least 25% in S
Relaxation parameter (m-value) at low temperature	Increase of at least 25% in m
ΔTc at low temperature	>-5°C, and increase of at least 25% in ΔTc

2. Through Mixture Testing

Parameter (measured on long term aged mixture)*	Change after incorporation of the rejuvenator at the recommended dosage rate
IDEAL-CT Index	Increase of at least 30% in the calculated index compared to the mix with no rejuvenator

** Long-term aging achieved through conditioning loose mixture through the NCAT protocol*

Summary and Conclusions

- Five RAs used in binder evaluations (one petroleum based)
- Four RAs used in mixture evaluation (one petroleum based)
- Binder evaluation through rheological tests
- Mixture evaluation through performance index tests
- RA Dosage Rates very in a wide range depending on RA type
- RAs proved to be effective both short term and long term
- Different methods were reviewed for determination of the RA dosage rate
- Different techniques were proposed for evaluating long-term effectiveness